

Federal Health Architecture

Federal Common Trust Bundle Requirements

Federal Health Architecture Directed Health Exchange Security Sub-Work Group

Version 1.0

July 2015

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Distribution authorized for public consumption. Questions regarding this document should be referred to:

ATTN: Eric Larson Directed Exchange Work Group Federal Health Architecture Federal.health@hhs.gov

Federal Health Architecture Program Management Office | Office of the National Coordinator for Health IT | Department of Health and Human Services



Document Change Control

| Version | Release Date | Summary of Changes | Addendum Number | Name |
|----------|-----------------|--|--------------------|-------------|
| 11.12.14 | TBD | New Report | N/A | Mike Davis |
| 1.14.15 | TBD | Added in person or antecedent, section 1.2.C | N/A | Eric Larson |
| 7.15.15 | TBD | Adjusted document Title to more accurately reflect Document intent | N/A | Eric Larson |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



1. Identity Axis Elements

The FHA Directed Exchange Security Sub-Work Group conducted an extensive survey of the federal exchange requirements outlined by The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) and Federal Identity, Credential and Access Management (FICAM) that all potentially impact federal agency participation in Direct Messaging. The tables below summarize those policies as they apply to federal agencies and their health information

1.1. IDENTITY OF ENTITY ASSERTING TRUST FRAMEWORK ATTRIBUTES

| Policy | Example | Reference |
|---|--|---|
| A. Identity (name asserting Trust Framework attributes) | DirectTrust.org | |
| B. Class of Identity covered under Trust Framework (individual or real person), pseudo identity, endpoint address, organization, service, <others>?</others> | | |
| C. Type of identity (hospital, internationalized domain name (IDN), provider organization, provider, Health Information Exchange (HIE), Connector, etc.) | Health Information Service Provide (HISP) | |
| a. Issuing Certificate Authority – if there is a chain, perhaps the full chain back to the root organization needs to be specified | Enter chain | |
| b. Certificate Policy | | |
| c. Federal Bridge Certificate Authority_External Certificate Authority (FBCA_ECA)=FBCA Medium Hardware or ECA Medium, Medium Token, Medium Hardware OR FBCA_Med=FBCA Medium Assurance for identity proofing and credential management OR FBCA approved DirectTrust equivalent. | FBCA Med | http://www.idmanagement.gov/sites/default/fi les/documents/FBCA%20Certificate%20Policy% 20v2.27.pdf |



| Policy | Example | Reference |
|--|---|---|
| d. DOC (Dual_Object Identifier (OID)_Certs)= Both FBCA Cross- certified Security Trust Agent (STA)/HISP Certificates+ DirectTrust OIDs | Yes | |
| e. DualCert=Two certificates one of signing and a second for encryption. Single-use certificates | Yes | X509 Certificate Policy for the Federal Bridge Certification Authority (FBCA) section 6.1.7 FPKIA May 14, 2013 Meeting Minutes CPWG |
| (a single certificated used for both encryption and signing) is not allowed | | Report section c. http://www.idmanagement.gov/sites/default/fi les/documents/FPKIPA%2014%20May%202013 |
| | | %20Meeting%20Minutes.pdf Considering the existing Direct RIs are already in production, use by numerous implementations and mandated by MU2 requirements and there was a clear planned path forward to eliminate dual use by the end of 2015, the CPWG determined that the current implementation could be considered a legacy application |
| | | Given that Direct explicitly requires the non- repudiation bit to be turned off, it was determined that Direct was not in violation of their policy |
| | | NIST will "tolerate" Dual-Use certificates for legacy systems as long as there is a path forward; FBCA permits Dual-Use certificates. ONC is requesting that DIRECT be considered a "legacy system" until the end of 2015. ONC has indicated they already have a plan in place to eliminate the requirement for Dual-Use certificates for federal participants that will end by then. |
| D. Accreditation (need to know what these values may be) | DirectTrust Member in good standing | Accredited Organizations: https://www.ehnac.org/accredited-organizations/ |
| E. Accrediting Entity | Electronic Healthcare Network Accreditation Commission (EHNAC) | https://www.ehnac.org/ |



| Policy | Example | Reference |
|--|-------------|--|
| F. Accreditation Date | 26 Sep 2013 | https://www.ehnac.org/accredited-organizations/ |
| G. Policy requires a Business Associate Agreement (BAA) or equivalent | | FHA Directed Exchange Security WG FAQ item 3.4 |
| language between the HISP supported | | FHA Directed Exchange Guideline 5 |
| entities | | DirectTrust HISP Policy, Version 1.0 Section 5.3.7.1 |
| | | If Sender is CD on acting on behalf of a CE (e.g is a BA and has a BAA with a CE), then a BAA with HISP must be established, and if not established, under the Omnibus rule, the HISP will be considered a BA anyway. |
| | | Under the HIPAA Privacy Rule, promulgated by the United States (U.S.) Department of |
| | | Health and Human Services, a covered entity must enter into a business associate agreement(BAA) with any individual who needs access to protected health information (PHI) in order to perform some activity for the covered entity before releasing PHI to that individual or entity. A BAA is required even if no contract vehicle exists between the covered entity and the business associate. |
| | | If Sender is CE or acting on behalf of a CE (e.g. is a BA and has a BAA with a CE), then a BAA with HISP must be established, and if not established, under the Omnibus rule, the HISP will be considered a BA anyway. |



1.2. USER ATTRIBUTES

| Policy | Example | Reference |
|--|--|---|
| A. Category of User covered under Trust Framework (Patient, Provider, Local, Remote, Contractor, Employee, Affiliate, pseudo identity, endpoint address, organization, service, <others>?)</others> | Provider | The term 'health care provider' has the meaning given such term in section 160.103 of title 45, Code of Federal Regulations. Section 160.103— Provider of services (as defined in section 1861(u) of the [Social Security] Act, 42 U.S.C. 1395x(u)), a provider of medical or health services (as defined in section 1861(s) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 1395x(s)), and any other person or organization who furnishes, bills, or is paid for health care in the normal course of business.3 |
| B. Identity Policy | NIST SP 800-63 v2 | NIST SP 800-63 v2 |
| C. User Identity Proofing level | NIST Level of Assurance (LOA)3 with in person or antecedent verification or higher | NIST SP 800-63 v2 |
| D. Contact person information (this is info on a live person who "represents" this identity if the identity is not a real person): | | |
| a. Name | | |
| b. Address | | |
| c. Contact Number | | |



1.3. GENERAL OPERATIONAL ELEMENTS

| | Policy | Example | Reference |
|----------------------------|--|---------|---|
| exclusive exchanges | Healthcare Domain use STA/HISP for all s EXCEPT patient | HcDom | The term 'health care provider' has the meaning given such term in section 160.103 of title 45, Code of Federal Regulations. |
| directed C | DR | | Section 160.103— |
| use STA/ | Patient Domain exclusive HISP (for all "Patient exchanges) | | Provider of services (as defined in section 1861(u) of the [Social Security] Act, 42 U.S.C. 1395x(u)), a provider of medical or health services (as defined in section 1861(s) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. 1395x(s)), and any other person or organization who furnishes, bills, or is paid for health care in the normal course of business.3 |
| | | | 3 Social Security Act, Section 1861 definitions for (u) and (s) are available online at http://www.ssa.gov/OP_Home/ssact/title18/186 1.htm. |
| | =Domain-bound e AND Header encryption R | DomCert | NIST SP 800-63 v2 |
| AddCert= Certificate | Address-bound | | |
| | Equiv (FISMA nt)=HIPAA + TF | Yes | |
| | nformation Processing s (FIPS) 140-2=FIPS 140- ryption | Yes | |
| E. FIPS 186- signatures | -2: FIPS 186-2 for digital | Yes | |



July 2015 Federal Common Trust Bundle Requirements

2. References

American Bar Association Trust Framework

North American Security Products Organization

Office of the National Coordinator: Health Information Technology Policy Committee (ONCHITPC)

Health Information Exchange (HIE) Governance Forum

National Association for Trusted Exchange (NATE)

DirectTrust

Blue Button Plus (BB+)

National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

Federal Identity, Credential, and Access Management (FICAM)