# Team Consensus Evaluation Worksheet

This worksheet is used to summarize the individual evaluator ratings assigned to the specification for each criterion, using the metrics for LOW, MOD, HIGH as described in Appendix A of the Individual Evaluation Worksheet. Once all evaluators’ ratings have been recorded, the team discusses their ratings and agrees upon a single consensus rating for each attribute and for each criterion. Ratings for each criterion, attribute, and Maturity/Adoptability category should use the following codes.

|  |
| --- |
| **Rating Codes** |
| **Code** | **Name** | **Notes** |
| L | Low | Specification aligns to Low metrics. |
| M | Moderate | Specification aligns to Moderate metrics. |
| H | High | Specification aligns to High metrics. |
| UNK | Unknown | Reviewer cannot make a determination for this attribute or criteria. |
| NA | Not Applicable | Attribute or criteria does not apply to the specification under evaluation. |

A High (H) rating for each criterion or attribute is always positively correlated with a recommendation for National Standards status. A Low rating for each criterion or attribute is always positively correlated with a recommendation for Emerging Standards status.

Consensus scores for Maturity and Adoptability are then plotted on the Classification Grid for team discussion, as the basis for a consensus recommendation for classifying the specification.

# 1. Maturity Criteria

| **Maturity of Specifications** | **Rating (L/M/H)** |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria Attributes** | **Evaluator 1** | **Evaluator 2** | **Evaluator 3** | **Evaluator 4** | **Evaluator 5** | **Evaluator 6** | **Evaluator 7** | **Evaluator 8** | **Evaluator 9** | **Consensus** |
| Breadth of Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adoption of Specification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Rating** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| **Maturity of Underlying Technology Components** | **Rating (L/M/H)** |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria Attributes** | **Evaluator 1** | **Evaluator 2** | **Evaluator 3** | **Evaluator 4** | **Evaluator 5** | **Evaluator 6** | **Evaluator 7** | **Evaluator 8** | **Evaluator 9** | **Consensus** |
| Breadth of Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adoption of Technology  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platform Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maturity of the Technology Within its Life Cycle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Rating** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| **Market Adoption** | **Rating (L/M/H)** |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria Attributes** | **Evaluator 1** | **Evaluator 2** | **Evaluator 3** | **Evaluator 4** | **Evaluator 5** | **Evaluator 6** | **Evaluator 7** | **Evaluator 8** | **Evaluator 9** | **Consensus** |
| Installed Health Care User Base |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Installed User Base Outside Health Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interoperable Implementations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Future Projections and Anticipated Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investments in User Training |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Rating** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Consensus Maturity Rating (L/M/H): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

# 2. Adoptability Criteria

| **Ease of Implementation/Deployment** | **Rating (L/M/H)** |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria Attributes** | **Evaluator 1** | **Evaluator 2** | **Evaluator 3** | **Evaluator 4** | **Evaluator 5** | **Evaluator 6** | **Evaluator 7** | **Evaluator 8** | **Evaluator 9** | **Consensus** |
| Availability of Off-the-Shelf Infrastructure (underlying technology) to Support Implementation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard as Success Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conformance Criteria and Tests |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Availability of Reference Implementations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specification Modularity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality and Clarity of Specifications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Separation of Concerns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ease of Use of Specification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree to which Specification Uses Familiar Terms to Describe “Real-World” Concepts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Runtime Decoupling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Appropriate Optionality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Rating** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| **Ease of Operations** | **Rating (L/M/H)** |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria Attributes** | **Evaluator 1** | **Evaluator 2** | **Evaluator 3** | **Evaluator 4** | **Evaluator 5** | **Evaluator 6** | **Evaluator 7** | **Evaluator 8** | **Evaluator 9** | **Consensus** |
| Comparison of Targeted Scale of Deployment to Actual Scale Deployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Operational Issues Identified in Deployment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree of Peer-Coordination of Technical Experts Needed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operational Scalability (i.e. Operational Impact of Adding a Single Node) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fit to Purpose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Rating** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| **Intellectual Property** | **Rating (L/M/H)** |
| --- | --- |
| **Criteria Attributes** | **Evaluator 1** | **Evaluator 2** | **Evaluator 3** | **Evaluator 4** | **Evaluator 5** | **Evaluator 6** | **Evaluator 7** | **Evaluator 8** | **Evaluator 9** | **Consensus** |
| Openness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Affordability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Licensing Permissiveness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copyright Centralization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Freedom from Patent Impediments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Overall Rating** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Consensus Adoptability Rating (L/M/H): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Classification Grid**

**Consensus Classification (Emerging/Pilot/National Standard): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**