

Health IT Standards Committee

A Public Advisory Body on Health Information Technology to the National Coordinator for Health IT



Standards & Interoperability Task Force

Update to HITSC

Stan Huff, Co-Chair
Arien Malec, Co-Chair
January 27, 2015



- Is there a continued need for the S&I Framework (or an equivalent process) to advance standards and implementation specification development?
 1. If yes, in what ways could the current S&I Framework be improved or enhanced to better address identified industry needs?
 2. If no, what alternatives should be considered to address the identified industry needs?

Member list



Health IT Standards Committee
A Public Advisory Body on Health Information Technology
to the National Coordinator for Health IT

Name	Organization
Stan Huff, Co-Chair	Intermountain Healthcare, HL7
Arien Malec, Co-Chair	RelayHealth Clinical Solutions
Jamie Ferguson	Kaiser Permanente
Holly Miller	MedAllies, Inc.
David Tao	Independent Consultant
Ken McCaslin	Quest Diagnostics
Josh Mandel	Boston Children's Hospital Boston
Joyce Sensmeier	HIMSS
Mark Segal	Electronic Health Record Association (EHRA)



1. Evaluate the what

- Key “jobs to be done” that S&I was addressing relative to national needs and the mission and orientation of SDOs and make recommendations on key jobs that national facilitation might address (if any)

2. Evaluate the how

- Assess S&I relative to agreed jobs, and make recommendations on going-forward mission and structure for ONC-organized engagement



Here are the key roles that are gaps relative to SDO mission and would be worthy jobs to be done:

- Support identified national priorities by:
 - Reducing optionality for existing standards
 - Coordinating across SDOs and supporting SDOs
 - Facilitating consolidated artifacts (e.g., consolidated implementation guides)
- Support production use by:
 - Facilitating pilots and effective production implementation
 - Feeding learnings back to SDOs (e.g., to further reduce optionality and clarify ambiguity)
 - Evaluating success of standards and implementation guidance in achieving national priorities
- Facilitate effective Federal participation in SDOs
- Recommending needs for infrastructure and non-traditional SDO artifacts. For example:
 - Value sets
 - Provider directory data sources (e.g., CMS NPPES modernization)
 - Organizational identity assurance

S&I Taskforce

Draft Work Plan



Health IT Standards Committee
 A Public Advisory Body on Health Information Technology
 to the National Coordinator for Health IT

	Meetings	Task
	Friday, January 23 rd 1:30pm – 3:30pm ET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kick off and overview of the S&I Framework • Review charge • Action steps
➔	Friday, January 30 th 1:30pm – 3:30 pm ET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review and discuss action steps • Explore “How” – starting with how “identified national priorities” should be made
	Tuesday, February 17 th 1:30pm – 3:30 pm ET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review Interoperability Roadmap milestones • Review and discuss action steps • Prep for Virtual Hearing
	Friday, February 27 th 1:30pm - 3:30pm ET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Virtual Hearing
	Thursday, March 5 th 12:00pm – 2:00pm ET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summarize Virtual Hearing • Develop recommendations
	Friday, March 13 th 2:00pm – 4:00pm ET	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Finalize Phase I recommendations - update documents/plans • Prep for March HITSC presentation
	Wednesday, March 18th HITSC Meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Phase I Recommendations



Discussion/Questions