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USCDI Task Force Charge

- **Overarching Charge:** Review and provide feedback on the U.S. Core Data for Interoperability (USCDI) Data Element Promotion Model.

- **Specific Charge:** Provide recommendations on the following:
  - Promotion Model Lifecycle for Submitted Data Elements
  - Data Element Submission Information
  - Data Element Promotion Criteria

- **Supplemental Charge:** Discuss additional defining criteria as needed

- **Informal Charge:** “The details”
  - Add details
  - Think through the process from the “User’s” perspective
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Overarching Goals of USCDI Promotion Model

- Open, public and transparent submission
- Encourage and enable diverse stakeholders and communities of interest to propose and promote new data elements/classes
- Establish lowest possible barriers for data element submission
- Establish a high bar of technical specification and testing for promotion
- Establish clear requirements for promotion enabling submitters and/or communities of interest to plan appropriately
- Establish clear requirements for promotion enabling ONC to appropriately place data elements/classes
- Provide advance notice to industry
Summary of Task Force Work

Reviewed proposed Promotion Model and Promotion Model Lifecycle

- Unanimous agreement without revision
- Proposed model addressed 2018 TF recommendations with a simpler structure

Added details to the advancement process by making recommendations for:

- Specific criteria for advancement between levels
- The application process and submission form
- Creating a “User’s Guide” for those submitting and advancing data elements

Added details for a USCDI Data Element Advisory Process similar to the ISA

- The model by which the ONC will coordinate the identification, assessment, advancement and public awareness of data elements proposed for the USCDI.

Discussed additional issues including:

- Processes to advance strategically important data elements
The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology
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Overview of Data Element Advancement Process

1. Submission process open to everyone
2. Demonstrate technical readiness for national exchange by successful exchange among four different platforms
3. Evaluation by HITAC
4. Demonstrate sufficient value to attract community support to advance technical specification
5. Demonstrate technical specification sufficient to enable exchange between two different platforms
6. Evaluation by ONC after public comment
7. Shortest process time to move from Level 2 to USCDI is 1 year
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Use of Criterion</th>
<th>Criterion Required for Advancement</th>
<th>Level if Criterion is Missing</th>
<th>Comments/Concerns for ONC’s Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Justification exists for data element capture and national exchange</td>
<td>Estimate of potential significance</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Helps determine potential significance of data element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are applicable Use Cases(s) involving this data element</td>
<td>Clarification</td>
<td>Required for Level 1</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Helps determine potential significance of data element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are projects currently underway using this data element</td>
<td>Clarification</td>
<td>Required for Level 1</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Helps determine potential significance of data element and potential for promotion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## USCDI Promotion Criteria: Comment to Level 1 (2 of 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Use of Criterion</th>
<th>Criterion Required for Advancement</th>
<th>Level if Criterion is Missing</th>
<th>Comments/Concerns for ONC’s Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This data element is currently captured electronically in one or more electronic systems</td>
<td>Feasibility of capture</td>
<td>Required for Level 1</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Any format is acceptable; demonstrates that someone wants the data electronically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regarding the systems cited above, how often is the data element collected and how is the data element collected? (free text, coded data element)</td>
<td>Clarification of feasibility</td>
<td>Required for level 1</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Important if these data elements are being collected and in what format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Use of Criterion</td>
<td>Criterion Required for Advancement</td>
<td>Level if Criterion is Missing</td>
<td>Comments/Concerns for ONC’s Consideration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A content standard exists for this data element</td>
<td>Technical maturity, feasibility</td>
<td>required for Level 1</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>NOTE: This requires only a content standard OR the existence of data element in an implementation guide. Not both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indicate if the data element is “captured” in discrete field(s), is encoded, or if it is typically in free text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An implementation guide exists that contains this data element</td>
<td>Technical maturity, feasibility</td>
<td>required for Level 1</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>NOTE: As above, only a content standard OR the existence of the data element in an implementation guide is required. Not both.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There have been pilots, “Connect-a-thon” testing, or production use of this data element</td>
<td>Technical maturity, feasibility</td>
<td>required for Level 1</td>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Demonstrates that an SDO has initiated work and interest; early stage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### USCDI Promotion Criteria: Level 1 to Level 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Use of Criterion</th>
<th>Criterion Required for Advancement</th>
<th>Level if Criterion is Missing</th>
<th>Comments/Concerns for ONC’s Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The exchange of this data element has been successfully tested at scale between two or more distinct/different EHR platform systems in a production environment</td>
<td>Technical maturity, feasibility</td>
<td>Required for Level 2</td>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>&quot;unrelated&quot;, “different platform”, &quot;technically unrelated systems&quot;, &quot;distinct EHR platform systems&quot;, “commercially separate”; what about registries?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# USCDI Promotion Criteria: Level 2 to USCDI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Use of Criterion</th>
<th>Criterion Required for Advancement</th>
<th>Level if Criterion is Missing</th>
<th>Comments/Concerns for ONC’s Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The exchange of this data element being successfully tested at scale between four or more distinct/different EHR platform systems in a production environment</td>
<td>Technical maturity</td>
<td>Required for USCDI level</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>We recommend that this item is both about how much the data element has been adopted/scaled and its technical maturity and readiness. (e.g., largest vendors sharing the data vs. smaller specialty systems.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Use of Criterion</td>
<td>Criterion Required for Advancement</td>
<td>Level if Criterion is Missing</td>
<td>Comments/Concerns for ONC’s Consideration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence exists for the impact of this data element on healthcare costs for individuals or populations</td>
<td>significance, strategic value</td>
<td>Facilitates advancement to USCDI level</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Submitter to present best argument regarding impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is an estimate of the number of providers who would use this data element/class</td>
<td>significance, strategic value</td>
<td>Facilitates advancement to USCDI level</td>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Submitter to present best argument regarding impact</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## USCDI Promotion Criteria: HITAC and ONC Review (2 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Use of Criterion</th>
<th>Criterion Required for Advancement</th>
<th>Level if Criterion is Missing</th>
<th>Comments/Concerns for ONC’s Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The following restrictions potentially limit the standardization of this data element.</td>
<td>barriers to deployment</td>
<td>Presence might impede advancement to USCDI level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following restrictions potentially limit the use of this data element.</td>
<td>barriers to deployment</td>
<td>Presence might impede advancement to USCDI level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is an estimate of the overall burden to implement</td>
<td>barriers to deployment</td>
<td>Presence might impede advancement to USCDI level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitter to provide estimates from a variety of viewpoints such as patient, provider, vendors, society, other stakeholders. Give consideration to public comments prior to clearance for USCDI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
USCDI Promotion Criteria: Review by HITAC

HITAC will recommend for or against promotion based on a data element achieving technical maturity and weighing the balance between its value to advance the quadruple aim versus the costs and barriers to deployment.

To fulfill this responsibility, the HITAC will:

• Review the evidence for technical maturity
• Review the evidence for the impact of this data element on healthcare costs for individuals or populations
• Review the estimate of the number of stakeholders (providers, patients, researchers, public health, etc.) who would use this data element/class
• Assess the significance of restrictions that might potentially limit the use of this data element.
• Assess the overall burden to implement
USCDI Promotion Criteria: Review by ONC

- Review HITAC recommendations
- Duplicate HITAC review as needed
- Review public comments
- Make final determination on advancement (benefit vs burden)
- Make determination for inclusion in Requirements for Certification and Maintenance of Certification
- Set timeline for industry compliance
Estimated Process Time: Each Cycle = 1 Year

Shortest Cycle Times
- Level 2 to USCDI: 2 cycle
- Level 1 to USCDI: 3 cycles
- Comment to USCDI: 3 cycles

Longest Cycles before Required Resubmission
- Level 2 to USCDI: 4 cycle
- Level 1 to USCDI: 6 cycles
- Comment to USCDI: 6 cycles
Submission Process

- Process open to anyone
- Submit single elements or entire data classes
- Submissions made electronically to an open, searchable, public resource maintained by ONC
- Require sufficient information in the application form to enable ONC to easily and accurately place the submission in the proper level

- Require the submitter to review the public resource to identify if similar or related elements have been previously submitted
- Require the submitter to provide updated information as available to inform ONC’s leveling decision
- Submission Form includes all items required by ONC for leveling
Submission Form

Five Sections

I. Identification of Data Element
II. Justification for Data Element promotion
III. Extent of use and technical specification
IV. Potential impact
V. Potential barriers
Submission Form Details: Sections I & II

Section I: Identification of Data Element

- Name of Proposer
- Contact Information of Proposer
- Data Element Name
- Data Element Description
- Related Data Elements
- Proposed Data Class (Optional)
- Do similar data elements currently exist in the USCDI or Promotion Model system?
  - Y/N/UNK
  - If yes, please explain why this data element should be considered separately

Section II: Justification for Data Element Promotion

- Explain why this data element should be captured and available for national exchange
- Briefly describe a representative use case
Section III: Extent of Use and Technical Specification

- Is this data element currently captured electronically in any electronic system?
  - If yes, please cite known systems that capture this data element and briefly describe the format and frequency of capture
- Does a content standard exist for citing this data element?
  - If yes, please provide a link to the applicable standard
- Does an implementation guide exist that contains this data element?
  - If yes, please provide a link to the IG
- Has there been any “Connect-a-thon”® testing, pilots, or production use of the data element?
  - If yes, please provide links to artifacts describing its use
- Has the exchange of this data element been successfully tested between two or more different platforms in a production environment?
  - If yes, please provide links to supporting artifacts
- Has the exchange of this data element been successfully tested at scale between four or more different platforms in a production environment?
Submission Form Details: Sections IV & V

Section IV: Potential Impact

- Is there evidence for the impact of this data element on healthcare costs for individuals or populations?
  - If yes, please provide supporting data
  - Please provide an estimate of the potential number of users of this data element and the basis of the estimate.

Section V: Potential Barriers

- Are there any restrictions on the standardization of this data element (e.g. proprietary code)?
- Are there any restrictions on the use of this data element (e.g. licensing, user fees)?
- Please provide an overall estimate of burden to implement
ONC assumes some key responsibilities

- Assess accuracy of submission
- Identify the need for and request supplemental information
- Display submissions in ways that enable other interested parties to form “communities of interest” and contribute to the promotion of a data element/class. (ISA and “Proving Ground” as potential models)

ONC provides oversight of Promotion Process

- Monitor the progress (or lack thereof) of data elements/classes
- Identify high priority data elements/classes that might need additional resources to advance
- Regularly announce leveling decisions
Summary of Proposed Responsibilities: Submitter and ONC

Submitter: Initiate Process
- Complete Data Element Submission/Application process
- Review data base for similar or related data elements
- Provide updated information to inform levelling decisions

ONC: Create, Revise and Maintain Data Element Promotion Process
- Provide examples of successful applications and review submissions
- Publish data element in searchable, public platform
- Adjudicate leveling/advancement decisions in a timely manner
- Assist/provide guidance to submitters and communities of interest to submit additional submission information as needed
- Provide oversight of data element progress
- Identify data elements with national strategic importance (quadruple aim), identify gaps, develop strategies to add/advance data elements
Issues for Further Consideration

• Should there be a process to identify high priority data elements that are either missing or not advancing?
  » Does ONC have a role to identify high priority data elements?
  » Does ONC have a role to propose specific data elements? Or is this best left to the Submission Process?
  » Does ONC have a role to facilitate the advancement of specific data elements? Or is this best left to the “market”?

• Should there be a process for harmonizing similar or related data elements?

• Would additional tools facilitate this process (e.g. a “sandbox”, “proving ground”, frequent summary updates of data element progress)?

• How does this process apply to "bulk" data classes (e.g.: lab tests, results, medications)? Is there a limit to the number of data elements that can advance at one time?
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