



ONC on FHIR

ONC Annual Meeting, November 29, 2018

Adam Wong, FHIR Strategy Lead, Office of Technology, ONC



Today's Panel

- John Snyder Senior Technical Advisor
- Caroline Coy Branch Chief, Strategic Initiatives
- Mera Choi Director, Technical Strategy and Operations Division
- Dan Chaput IT Specialist







Inferno Testing Suite

Introduction and Demonstration

John Snyder | Senior Technical Advisor, ONC Office of Technology



- Promote open, accessible application programming interfaces (APIs)
- Improve individuals' ability to access-send their health information
- Accelerate data exchange between disparate health networks
- Inferno facilitates all of these objectives by establishing a consistent baseline of server capabilities and standards for a mobile world
- ONC has partnered with MITRE to develop the Inferno suite of FHIR test tooling today we offer a "Developers Preview"

health information accessible when and where it matters most

Inferno is a free, open source testing tool for HL7[®] FHIR[®] servers. It makes HTTP requests to test your server's conformance to authentication, authorization, and FHIR content standards and reports the results back to you.



What does Inferno Test?





App Registration through either manual client registration or the <u>OAuth 2.0</u> <u>Dynamic Client Registration Protocol</u>

App Launch using the <u>SMART App</u> <u>Launch Framework</u> with support for both the <u>Standalone Launch</u> and <u>EHR</u> <u>Launch</u> sequences

Authentication, which must conform to OpenID Connect Core 1.0

Conformance to the Argonaut Data Query Implementation Guide, including support for the following DSTU2 resources:

AllergyIntolerance, CarePlan, Condition, Device, DiagnosticReport, DocumentReference, Goal, Immunization, Medication, MedicationOrder, MedicationStatement, Observation, Patient, Procedure



- Quick test execution for experts
- Learning tool for all developers
- Prioritize Testing Speed
- Focus on Patient Access



INFERNO



Getting Started



Inferno is an open source tool that tests whether patients can access their health data. It makes HTTP(S) requests to test your server's conformance to authentication, authorization, and FHIR content standards and reports the results back to you.

Start Testing

http://your-fhir-server.org

Begin

🖌 This software is under active development. Please report bugs and submit feature requests as GitHub issues.



Standalone Launch Sequence

OpenID Connect Server - Appr × + → C	-4715-830c-0ef547edd6b2&redirect_uri=http%3A%2F%2Flocalhost%3A4567%2Finferno 🗙
OpenID Connect Server Home About Statistics Contact	▲ notpace@gmail.com ▼
Caution: This client was dynamically registered 6 minutes ago. It has never been approved previously. You will be redirected to the following page if you click Approve:	Yed for Inferno Access to: 2 Launch context info 2 OpenID Connect id_token request 2 User Profile Claim 2 Deed write all data accessible by the user
http://localhost:4567/inferno/3WsyxTJB6w9/1FADHA/redirect	 Read-write all data accessible by the user Read-write all data for a given patient When launching outside an EHR, provide patient context at time of launch Allows for offline_access
	 remember this decision until I revoke it remember this decision for one hour prompt me again next time
Do you authorize	e "Inferno"? Deny
Powered by MITREId Connect 1.3.1 .	© 2017 The MITRE Corporation and MIT Internet Trust Consortium.



inferno \$ rake inferno:execute[https://api-v5-dstu2.hspconsortium.org/InfernoDev/data,Conformance]

Testing 1 Sequences

ConformanceSequence Sequence:

- ✓ pass FHIR server secured by transport layer security
- ✓ pass FHIR server responds to /metadata endpoint with valid DSTU2 Conformance Statement resource
- ✓ pass Conformance Statement states JSON support
- ✓ pass Conformance Statement provides OAuth 2.0 endpoints
- X fail (optional) Conformance Statement describes SMART on FHIR core capabilities

Message: Conformance statement does not list required SMART capabilties: launch-ehr, launch-stan -ehr-patient, context-standalone-patient, context-standalone-encounter, permission-offline, permissio / pass - Conformance Statement lists supported Argonaut profiles, operations and search parameters

ConformanceSequence Sequence Result: pass 🗸

Result: 0 failed, 1 passed



Developer Feedback

Search or jump to	7 Pull reques	sts Issues Mark	etplace Explo	re	Ļ÷ +- ∰-
📮 siteadmin / inferno			•	Unwatch - 13	★ Star 6 [%] Fork 1
↔ Code ④ Issues 1 約 Pull	requests 1 🔲 Project	ts o 🗉 Wiki	Insights		
Source code for the Inferno Testing	g Tool				
🕝 513 commits	5 branches	ି ୦ releases	13 c	contributors	কাঁু Apache-2.0
Branch: development - New pull reque	est		Create new file	Upload files	Find file Clone or download -
This branch is 23 commits ahead, 1 co	ommit behind master.				🕅 Pull request 🗄 Compare
This branch is 23 commits ahead, 1 co	ommit behind master. teadmin/index_fix			Late	Pull request 🗈 Compare
This branch is 23 commits ahead, 1 co arscan Merge pull request #37 from si data	ommit behind master. teadmin/index_fix Restructure models.			Late	Pull request Dest commit a522388 4 hours ago 9 months ago
This branch is 23 commits ahead, 1 co arscan Merge pull request #37 from si data deployment-files	ommit behind master. teadmin/index_fix Restructure models. Change all crucible refe	erences to Inferno		Late	Pull request Compare est commit a522388 4 hours ago 9 months ago a month ago
This branch is 23 commits ahead, 1 co arscan Merge pull request #37 from si data deployment-files ilb	ommit behind master. teadmin/index_fix Restructure models. Change all crucible refe Merge pull request #37	erences to Inferno from siteadmin/ind	lex_fix	Late	Pull request Compare est commit a522388 4 hours ago 9 months ago a month ago 4 hours ago
This branch is 23 commits ahead, 1 co arscan Merge pull request #37 from si data deployment-files lib public	teadmin/index_fix Restructure models. Change all crucible refe Merge pull request #37 Sequence details and c	erences to Inferno from siteadmin/ind onformance sequer	ex_fix	Late	Pull request Compare est commit a522388 4 hours ago 9 months ago a month ago 4 hours ago 14 hours ago
This branch is 23 commits ahead, 1 co arscan Merge pull request #37 from si data deployment-files lib public resources/argonauts	teadmin/index_fix Restructure models. Change all crucible refe Merge pull request #37 Sequence details and co Add profile validation to	erences to Inferno from siteadmin/ind onformance sequer o redesign.	ex_fix nce details.	Late	Pull request Compare est commit a522388 4 hours ago 9 months ago a month ago 4 hours ago 14 hours ago 8 months ago
This branch is 23 commits ahead, 1 co arscan Merge pull request #37 from si data deployment-files lib public resources/argonauts sample-data	teadmin/index_fix Restructure models. Change all crucible refe Merge pull request #37 Sequence details and co Add profile validation to Adding sample test data	erences to Inferno from siteadmin/ind onformance sequer o redesign. a for FHIR servers	ex_fix	Late	Pull request Compare est commit a522388 4 hours ago 9 months ago a month ago 4 hours ago 14 hours ago 8 months ago 4 months ago







We Want Your Input!

inferno.healthit.gov github.com/siteadmin/inferno CONTACT INFORMATION John Snyder, ONC John.snyder2@hhs.gov





@HHSONC







Advancing the Capture and Use of Patient-Reported Outcomes through Health IT

ONC on FHIR, November 29, 2018

Caroline Coy, Branch Chief, Strategic Initiatives, ONC



Project Background

- Patient Reported Outcomes (PROs):
 - » Any information providing the status of a patient's health outcome which comes directly from the patient without interpretation of that patient's response by a clinician or anyone else.
- Why PROs?
- ONC Project Goal:
 - » Standardize integration (uploading and representation) of structured PRO data in EHRs and other health IT solutions for interoperable exchange
- Federal partners



Goals

- Identify barriers related to electronic capture of PROs
- Develop technical specifications to improve electronic capture of PROs
- Test the technical specifications in clinical settings using electronic health record systems and/or applications
- Communicate challenges and successes related to implementing the technical specifications
- Identify gaps in technical specifications and provide suggestions for improvement
 - » Implement workflow and administrative processes to support testing of the technical specification and report on progress



Activities to Date

- Stakeholder discussions and research
- Implementation specifications and HL7 collaboration
- Pilot site selection
- Pilot site sprints underway



FHIR Implementation Guide

• <u>https://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/patient-reported-outcomes/</u>

Patient_Reported_Outcomes Implementation Guide For Comment Ballot	HER.
Home Implementation Guidance Profiles and Extensions Terminology Capability Statements Downloads	
тос ноте Patient Reported Outcomes FHIR Implementation Guide	
This is the For Comment Ballot of the Patient_Reported_Outcomes Implementation Guide, based on FHIR Version 3.5.0 et a versions of versions of the Patient_Reported_Outcomes Implementation Guide, based on FHIR Version 3.5.0 et al.	See the Directory of published
0.1 Introduction 𝔗 The Patient Reported Outcomes (PRO) FHIR Implementation Guide (IG) will focus on capturing and exchanging patient reported outcome data electronically using the FHIR standard. The data that is captured will be made available to both providers and authorized researchers. While the PRO FHIR IG can be applied to multiple use cases, the current requirements have been drawn from [PCORnet] use cases and implementations. The capabilities described as part of the IG are intended to be leveraged to build US data infrastructure for a Learning Health System (LHS).	e of Contents: ntroduction Suidance to the readers
PRO FHIR IG will leverage the US-Core IG and profiles for the resources that overlap with US-Core. PRO FHIR IG will also lev Capture (SDC) FHIR IG. In addition the IG will create profiles and extensions necessary for PRO purposes which do not exist	verage the Structured Data t in US-Core and SDC FHIR IG.
The next section provides a road map for the reader to walk through the implementation guide.	
0.1 Guidance to the readers The following table will provide a road map to the reader to follow and absorb the content of the implementation guide.	
Topic to Read What it Contains and its relationship to PRO IG	Where can I find the content ?



REACHnet (Louisiana Public Health Institute – LPHI)

• PCORI funded clinical data research network (CDRN) of health systems in Louisiana and Texas covering 5 million patients.

pSCANNER (University of Southern California – USC)

• PCORI funded, stakeholder-governed federated network that utilizes a distributed, service-oriented architecture to integrate data from three existing networks covering over 24 million patients.



- Each of the PRO pilot organizations are leveraging the work done by the Assessment Center team at Northwestern (HealthMeasures)
- Assessment Center is a web-based data collection platform that enables researchers to create study-specific websites for capturing participant data securely
- Assessment Center Application Programming Interface (API) allows data collection systems to administer self- and proxy-reported HealthMeasures (i.e. PROMIS Physical Function v2.0)
- The applications created by the Pilots will interface with the Assessment Center using the Assessment Center API to retrieve the possible list of Questionnaires (Short Form or CAT)
- In order to achieve interoperability among various platforms the questionnaires and the responses will be mapped into a FHIR format and stored within the FHIR server



• REACHnet

- » The Assessment Center API will be used to retrieve the PROMIS measure (Questionnaires)
- » Those questions will then be mapped to a FHIR resource and stored in a FHIR server

• pSCANNER

- » The Assessment Center API will be used to retrieve the PROMIS measure (Questionnaires) that are ordered by the physician/clinician to administer to a patient
- » The Assessment Center API will then collect the responses and score them
- » The Assessment Center API then sends the results back for physician/clinician access



FHIR PRO Testing and Next Steps

- AHRQ Step Up App Challenge: Advancing Care through Patient Self Assessments and MedStar collaboration
- Continue HL7 collaboration and update technical specifications
- Continue pilot site testing
- Identify lessons learned









CONTACT INFORMATION

Caroline Coy, Branch Chief, Strategic Initiatives Office of the National Coordinator for Health IT











PDMP FHIR IG and Pilots Overview

Mera Choi, Division Director, Technical Strategy and Operations Division, ONC

November 29, 2018



Agenda

- PDMP Background
- Overview of the Project Phases
- Pilot Sites, Scope, and Goals
- Pilot Approach
- Pilot Example IL LogiCoy Web App



Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs (PDMPs)

- PDMPs are statewide electronic data systems that collect, analyze, and make available prescription data on controlled substances dispensed by non-hospital pharmacies and practitioners
- PDMPs collect information on the type and quantity of drug, dispense date, and prescriber and pharmacy identifiers
- PDMP data can help providers identify patterns of possible nonmedical or dangerous use of prescription drugs
- Access to PDMP information is determined by state law. All states with a PDMP allow prescribers, and most allow pharmacists, to obtain controlled substance prescription history information on patients under their care



PDMP FHIR – Project Phases

• Phase 1 – PDMP FHIR Mapping

- » Objective
 - Develop a PDMP Implementation Guide (IG) to enable EHRs to access PDMPs using the HL7 FHIR standard and ballot the IG via HL7
- » Deliverables
 - Environmental survey assessing PDMP landscape and challenges
 - Mapping of HL7 FHIR to NCPDP
 Script 10.6 and to PMIX 1.0 for
 request and response transactions
 - PDMP IG (balloted and approved in June 2018)
 - <u>http://hl7.org/fhir/us/meds/2018</u>
 <u>May/pdmp.html</u>



Transaction 3 (diagram) is only transaction in scope for the US Meds PDMP FHIR IG



PDMP FHIR – Project Phases

PDMP IG Details

- Project used the US-Core profiles and the US Meds profiles to request and receive PDMP data using FHIR resources (no new FHIR profiles were created)
- Request: 16 Data Elements mapped between PMIX and FHIR
- Response: 46 Data Elements mapped between PMIX and FHIR
- <u>http://hl7.org/fhir/us/meds/2018May/pdmp.html</u>



PDMP FHIR – Project Phases

- Phase 2 PDMP FHIR Pilots
 - » Objective
 - Provide support for the PDMP standards mapping and balloting efforts and refine the PDMP FHIR standards through pilot testing
 - » Deliverables
 - Pilot sites feedback based on implementation and testing of the current PDMP FHIR IG
 - An updated PDMP FHIR IG with pilot site input (and any other sources)



Pilot Goals

- Implementation and testing of the PDMP FHIR IG
- Refine mapping in the PDMP FHIR IG (based on pilot findings)
- Revise and update the PDMP FHIR IG based on project activities and pilot testing
- Update (and re-ballot if necessary) the updated PDMP FHIR IG at HL7



Pilot Scope

- Core Tasks
 - » Utilize PDMP FHIR IG to implement both routes in test environments
 - Direct route testing: FHIR server to be hosted at IL PDMP for IL
 - Intermediary route testing: FHIR server to be hosted by LogiCoy for KY & UT
 - » Capture lessons learned to update and refine the PDMP FHIR IG
- Potential Tasks
 - » Integration of PDMP data into EHR systems via FHIR (in sandbox)
 - » Gathering clinician feedback on use



Pilot Sites

- Illinois (Department of Human Services)
 - » Path: Direct to PMP
- Kentucky (Department of Health)
 - » Path: Intermediary (RxCheck) along with LogiCoy converter
- Utah (Department of Health)
 - » Path: Intermediary (RxCheck) along with LogiCoy converter



Pilot Approach

- Direct Route (IL)
 - » FHIR server at PDMP data store
 - Outside FHIR clients (e.g., a SMART App) connect to FHIR server
 - FHIR server directly connects to data store
 - FHIR server returns results to FHIR clients



Pilot Approach

- Intermediary Route (KY, UT)
 - » FHIR converter upstream from intermediary
 - Outside FHIR clients connect to LogiCoy FHIR converter
 - FHIR converter translates from FHIR, queries data store via intermediary, obtains results, translates back to FHIR
 - FHIR converter returns results to FHIR clients



Pilot Example

- Illinois Pilot Utilizing the LogiCoy SMART on FHIR web app
 - » Using test data from the Illinois PDMP
 - » This app can also connect to other states via RxCheck
 - » There are iOS and Android versions of the web app



IL LogiCoy Web App: Prescriptions View

		+										
← → ♂ ✿ 🔒 https://op	penid.logicoy.	com/pdmpweb	bappfecom	nmon/layout/home							\$) .
🗎 Carradora 🗎 frequents 🌀 (Google 👆 Ev	ver 🖽 stocks	s <mark>Y</mark> Porti	folio 🛅 financials 🛅 boards		omics	YouTube [🗎 News 📫 Fid	Res 🛅 Trav	el 🛅 blogs	* 🛅 Ou	er Bookmar
«Log 🕻 Coy 🛛 🥱	8											
Select all O De-select all	Patien	t Prescr	ription	n Analysis					PDF Report		Text Report	۲
Update Sherlock Holmes	\rm Sher	rlock Holme	es	50 Prescrip	tions			MME Details	ž		🖥 Risk Analysis	
01-06-1954 6890 HARTWIG DR CHERRY VALLEY IL 61016	Age: 64 D.O.B: 0 Addres:	years 10 mor)1-06-1954 s: 6890 HARTV	nths WIG DR,	16 Prescr 15	ibers		A	verage MME/d urrent MME/da	ay 31.7 ay 0.0	5		
Patld: 1	Date: 1	1-19-2018		Pharm	acies							
Patid: 1 Sherlock Holmes 01-06-1954 6890 HARTWIG DR VERIFY DOB CHERRY VALLEY IL 61016 Patid: 2	Date: 1 Prescrip Show 10	tions Pr	rescriber	Pharm rs Pharmacies Me	acies dical C	Cannibi	s Program	Naloxone A	Administrat	ions Pre	evious Opioid Overdo	se
Patid: 1 Sherlock Holmes 01-06-1954 6890 HARTWIG DR VERIFY DOB CHERRY VALLEY IL 61016 Patid: 2	Date: 1 Prescrip Show 10	tions Pr	rescriber	Pharm rs Pharmacies Me	dical C	annibi	s Program	Naloxone A	Administrat	ions Pre Si	evious Opioid Overdo	se
Patid: 1 Cherlock Holmes 01-06-1954 6890 HARTWIG DR VERIFY DOB CHERRY VALLEY IL 61016 Patid: 2 CHERLOCK HOLMES 01-05-1954 524 N LA LONDE AVE LOMBARD II	Date: 1 Prescrip Show 10 Id \$ 593906	1-19-2018 otions Pr • entries Filled • W 2018- 02-24 2	rescriber Written 2018-02- 23	Pharm	dical C Qtý 90	Cannibi Days 30	s Program Strength 350MG	Naloxone A RX# 00591551305	Administrat MME/Daÿ 0.0	ions Pre Se Prescriber NA	evious Opioid Overdo earch: Pharmacy WALGREENS #12555	Patid 1
Patid: 1 Cherlock Holmes 01-06-1954 6890 HARTWIG DR VERIFY DOB CHERRY VALLEY IL 61016 Patid: 2 CHERCK HOLMES 01-06-1954 SHERLOCK HOLMES 01-06-1954 524 N LA LONDE AVE LOMBARD IL 60148 Patid: 3 Ø	Date: 1 Date: 1 Prescrip Show 10 Id \$ 593906 580078	Filled M 2018- 02-24 2018- 2018- 02-19	rescriber Written 2018-02- 23 2017-12- 25	Pharm Pharm Pharm CARISOPRODOL TESTOSTERONE CYPIONATE	dical C Qty 90 3	Days 30	s Program Strength 350MG 200MG/ML	Naloxone A RX# 00591551305 00574082001	Administrat MME/Daý 0.0 0.0	ions Pre Si Prescriber NA NA	evious Opioid Overdo earch: Pharmacy WALGREENS #12555 WALGREENS #12555	Se Patid 1
Patid: 1 Sherlock Holmes 01-06-1954 6890 HARTWIG DR VERIFY DOB CHERRY VALLEY IL 61016 Patid: 2 SHERLOCK HOLMES 01-06-1954 524 N LA LONDE AVE LOMBARD IL 60148 Patid: 3 SHERLOCK HOLMES 01-05-1954	Date: 1 Date: 1 Prescrip Show 10 Id 593906 580078 592717	1-19-2018 otions Pr • entries Filled • M 2018- 02-24 2/ 0218- 02-19 2/ 2018- 02-19 2/ 2018- 02-19 2/ 10 1/	Written 2018-02- 23 2017-12- 25 2018-02- 16	Pharm Pharmacies Me Prug ¢ CARISOPRODOL TESTOSTERONE CYPIONATE HYDROCODONE BIT/ACETAMIN	dical C Qty 90 3 90	Days 30 30	Strength 350MG 200MG/ML 325-10 MG	Naloxone A RX#	Administrat MME/Daý 0.0 0.0 30	ions Pre Si Prescriber NA NA NA	evious Opioid Overdo earch: Pharmacy WALGREENS #12555 WALGREENS #12555 WALGREENS #12555	Se Patid 1

The Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology

IL LogiCoy Web App: Pharmacies View

😑 🔍 💘 LogiCoy Pdmp	× +					
$\leftrightarrow \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \triangleq https://$	openid.logicoy.com/pdmpwebappfecommon/layout/hom	18			🖈 🙂	≞ :
🗎 Carradora 🗎 frequents 🧲) Google 🍓 Ever 🧮 stocks 🍸 Portfolio 🗎 financi	als 🗎 boards 🗎 cornics 💶 YouTub	e 🗎 News 🧖 Fid Res 🗎 Travel	🗎 blogs	» 📔 Other Bo	okmarks
« Log Coy 🕴	*					G
Select all O De-select all	Patient Prescription Analysi	S	🛛 PDF Report	📄 Text Re	port	•
Update Sherlock Holmes D1-05-1954 6890 HARTWIG DR CHERRY VALLEY IL 61016 Patid: 1 I	 Sherlock Holmes Age: 64 years 10 months D.O.B: 01-06-1954 Address: 6890 HARTWIG DR, 6890 HAR Date: 11-19-2018 	50 Prescriptions 16 Prescribers 15 Pharmacies	MME Details Average MME/day 31.75 Current MME/day 0.00	Risk An	alysis	0
Sherlock Holmes D1-D5-1954 5890 HARTWIG DR VERIFY DOB CHERRY VALLEY IL 61016 Padd: 2	Prescriptions Prescribers Pharma	icies Medical Cannibis Progra	im Naloxone Administratio	ons Previous Opi Search:	oid Overdose	
SHERLOCK HOLMES	Name	Address	City	State 🔶 Zip	DEA	÷
01-06-1954	WALGREENS #12555	2404 S PERRYVILLE RD	ROCKFORD	IL 61108	FW1400845	
524 N LA LONDE AVE LOMBARD	WALGREENS	2525 S ALPINE RD	ROCKFORD	IL 61104	BB6039843	
60148 Double 2	SWEDISH AMERICAN INFUSION SERVIC	2550 CHARLES STREET	ROCKFORD	IL 61104	BS4808258	
Patio: 3	WALGREENS	727 S MAIN	LOMBARD	IL 60148	AW2345254	
SHERLOCK HOLMES	CVS CAREMARK	1780 WALL ST	MT PROSPECT	IL 60056	FC3186815	
01-06-1954	WALGREENS	1601 N WELLS	CHICAGO	IL 60614	AW7672505	
5000 S EASTEND AVE APT B25 CHICAGO IL 60615	MEDICATE PHARMACY	100 N 8TH ST STE 100	EAST ST LOUIS	IL 62201	BM6835360	



IL LogiCoy Web App: Naloxone Administrations View



IL LogiCoy Web App: Previous Opioid Overdoses View





Contact Information

• ONC

- » Mera Choi, <u>mera.choi@hhs.gov</u>
- » Margeaux Akazawa, <u>margeaux.akazawa@hhs.gov</u>
- LogiCoy
 - » Fred Aabedi, fred.aabedi@logicoy.com
- PDMP FHIR Project Team
 - » Mike Flanigan, <u>mike.flanigan@carradora.com</u>
 - » Brett Marquard, <u>brett@waveoneassociates.com</u>
 - » Nagesh (Dragon) Bashyam, <u>nagesh.bashyam@drajer.com</u>
 - » Amy Benson, <u>amy.benson@carradora.com</u>







HL7[®] FHIR[®] Validated Healthcare Directory

An introduction and current status – November 29th, 2018

Daniel Chaput | IT Specialist, ONC Office of Technology



- April 5th and 6th, 2016 Provider Directory Workshop
 - » A joint effort of the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) and the Federal Health Architecture (FHA).
 - » Convened public and private stakeholders to review challenges, share successes, and generate new ideas around provider directory standards and solutions.
- ONC and FHA launched a new Healthcare directory effort in July 2016.
 - » Objective 1 Define the architecture of a central source of validated healthcare directory data.
 - » Objective 2 Develop a Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) Implementation Guide describing the exchange of information between a central source of validated healthcare directory data and local environments (e.g. provider organizations, payers, HIEs).



Healthcare Directory vs. Provider Directory - Scope

- The implementation guide encompasses all individuals and entities that provide services which may impact an individual's health and well-being. The scope may include data about community/social service entities and non-licensed administrative/support staff, among others.
- Not limited to
 - » only those individuals/entities that are licensed to practice medicine
 - » only those individuals/entities that and bill for healthcare services.
- Out of scope Patient and family caregiver information.



Value Model

• The role of Healthcare directories

- » Electronic endpoint discovery
- » Referrals and transitions of care
- » Health plan enrollment
- » Provider selection
- » Provider credentialing/privileging

Many healthcare organizations maintain directories

- » providers, payers, health information exchange organizations (HIEs/HIOs), health information service providers (HISPs), government agencies, and credentialing organizations
- » Activities remain scattered, uncoordinated, and are often not interoperable. As a result, the healthcare industry collectively spends significant time and resources registering and validating demographic information for individual and organizational providers for purposes such as licensure, credentialing, certification, and payment.
- Providers often have to submit and manage information about themselves and their places of employment to a variety of stakeholders. In the US, providers often contract with ten or more health plans, and are required to regularly submit similar information to each plan for inclusion in a provider directory. Likewise, provider credentialing and hospital privileging processes require similar documentation. The Council for Affordable Quality Healthcare has estimated that maintaining provider databases costs the US healthcare industry at least \$2 billion annually.
- Due to the high cost of acquiring and maintaining provider information and keeping it current, existing healthcare directories often contain information that is inaccurate, out of date, or not validated.



Validated Healthcare Directory Concept Diagram





The current product release

- Continuous build
 - » <u>http://build.fhir.org/ig/HL7/VhDir/index.html</u>
- Balloted build
 - » <u>http://hl7.org/fhir/uv/vhdir/2018Sep/index.html</u>
- Project information
 - » <u>https://oncprojectracking.healthit.gov/wiki/display/TechLabSC/Healthcare+Dire</u> <u>ctory</u>



Next steps

- Planning for FHIR Connectathons
 - » Dec 11 (virtual)
 - » January 2019 at the HL7 workgroup meeting
- Re-prioritizing our efforts
 - » Additional use cases and APIs to support validation
 - » Developing a large, synthetic data set for testing







Contact Information

Daniel Chaput, ONC daniel.chaput@hhs.gov

@ONC_HealthIT

@HHSONC







Thank you!



@ONC_HealthIT

