

2016 Interoperability Standards Advisory

Office of the National Coordinator for Health IT

BEST AVAILABLE
STANDARDS AND
IMPLEMENTATION
SPECIFICATIONS

[Draft for Comment]

Table of Contents

Introduction	Z
Scope	4
Purpose	4
The 2016 Interoperability Standards Advisory	5
Section I: Best Available Vocabulary/Code Set/Terminology Standards and Implementation Specifications	8
l-A: Allergies	8
l-B: Care Team Member	g
l-C: Encounter Diagnosis	g
l-D: Race and Ethnicity	10
l-E: Family Health History	10
l-F: Functional Status/Disability	11
l-G: Gender Identity, Sex, and Sexual Orientation	11
l-H: Immunizations	13
l-I: Industry and Occupation	14
l-J: Lab tests	14
l-K: Medications	15
l-L: Numerical References & Values	15
l-M: Patient "problems" (i.e. conditions)	15
l-N: Preferred Language	16
l-O: Procedures	16
I-P: Radiology (interventions and procedures)	17
I-Q: Smoking Status	17
l-R: Unique Device Identification	17
l-S: Vital Signs	18
Section II: Best Available Content/Structure Standards and Implementation Specifications	18
II-A: Admission, Discharge, and Transfer	18
II-B: Care Plan	19
II-C: Clinical Decision Support	19
II-D: Drug Formulary & Benefits	19
II-E: Electronic Prescribing	20

II-G: Images	II-F: Family health history (clinical genomics)	21
II-I: Patient Education Materials	II-G: Images	22
II-J: Patient Preference/Consent	II-H: Laboratory	23
II-K: Public Health Reporting	II-I: Patient Education Materials	24
II-L: Quality Reporting	II-J: Patient Preference/Consent	25
II-M: Representing clinical health information as a "resource"	II-K: Public Health Reporting	25
II-N: Segmentation of sensitive information	II-L: Quality Reporting	29
II-O: Summary care record	II-M: Representing clinical health information as a "resource"	29
Section III: Best Available Standards and Implementation Specifications for Services	II-N: Segmentation of sensitive information	30
III-A: An unsolicited "push" of clinical health information to a known destination	II-O: Summary care record	30
III-B: Clinical Decision Support Services	Section III: Best Available Standards and Implementation Specifications for Services	31
III-C: Image Exchange	III-A: An unsolicited "push" of clinical health information to a known destination	31
III-D: Provider Directory	III-B: Clinical Decision Support Services	32
III-E: Publish and Subscribe	III-C: Image Exchange	33
III-F: Query	III-D: Provider Directory	34
III-G: Resource Location	III-E: Publish and Subscribe	34
Section IV: Questions and Requests for Stakeholder Feedback	III-F: Query	35
Appendix I - Annual Process to Update the Interoperability Standards Advisory	III-G: Resource Location	37
Appendix II – Sources of Security Standards41	Section IV: Questions and Requests for Stakeholder Feedback	38
	Appendix I - Annual Process to Update the Interoperability Standards Advisory	40
Appendix III - Revision History	Appendix II – Sources of Security Standards	41
	Appendix III - Revision History	42

The Interoperability Standards Advisory (ISA) represents the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology's current thinking and is for informational purposes only. It is non-binding and does not create nor confer any rights or obligations for or on any person or entity.

Introduction

The Interoperability Standards Advisory (ISA) process represents the model by which the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC) will coordinate the identification, assessment, and determination of the "best available" interoperability standards and implementation specifications for industry use to fulfill specific clinical health IT interoperability needs.

The Draft 2016 Interoperability Standards Advisory (Draft 2016 Advisory) remains focused on clinical health information technology (IT) interoperability and is published at http://www.healthit.gov/standards-advisory/2016. For detailed background on the Advisory, its purpose, and its processes please review the 2015 Advisory. Updates to the Draft 2016 Advisory's substance and structure reflect input obtained from the public at large throughout 2015 and the HIT Standards Committee. A final 2016 Advisory will be published at the end of 2015.

At a high-level, the most substantial changes between the 2015 and 2016 Advisory are structural changes to way in which the content is organized, presented, and annotated. This includes the following:

- 1) Instead of referencing a general "purpose," a section's lead-in is framed to convey an "interoperability need" stakeholders may express to convey an outcome they would want to achieve with interoperability.
- 2) A set of six informative characteristics are now associated with each referenced standard and implementation specification to give readers an overall sense of maturity and adoptability.
- 3) Associated with each "interoperability need" are two subsections.
 - a. The first would identify any known limitations, dependencies, or preconditions associated with best available standards and implementation specifications.
 - b. The second would identify, where applicable, known "security patterns" associated with best available standards and implementation specifications. This subsection's goal would be to identify the generally reusable security techniques applicable to interoperability need(s) without prescribing or locking-in particular security standards.
- 4) A security standards sources appendix is included to point stakeholders to the entities that maintain and curate relevant security standards information.
- 5) A revision history section has been added at the end of the document.

This document is a draft for comment and will continue to be refined during the public comment period. Additionally, because this draft includes both new structural and content sections please note that content for many of the new structural subsections is intentionally incomplete. Those sections that are more fully populated were done so to give the public an early opportunity to weigh in on and react to perceived value that these subsections could provide. Your feedback is critical to improve and refine these new subsections.

Scope

The standards and implementation specifications listed in this advisory focus explicitly on clinical health IT systems' interoperability. Thus, the advisory's scope includes electronic health information created in the context of treatment and subsequently used to accomplish a purpose for which interoperability is needed (e.g., a referral to another care provider, public health reporting). The advisory does **not** include within its scope administrative/payment oriented interoperability purposes or administrative transaction requirements that are governed by HIPAA and administered by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS).

Purpose

The ISA is meant to serve at least the following purposes:

1) To provide the industry with a single, public list of the standards and implementation specifications that can best be used to fulfill specific clinical health information interoperability needs.

- 2) To reflect the results of ongoing dialogue, debate, and consensus among industry stakeholders when more than one standard or implementation specification could be listed as the best available.
- 3) To document known limitations, preconditions, and dependencies as well as known security patterns among referenced standards and implementation specifications when they are used to fulfill a specific clinical health IT interoperability need.

The 2016 Interoperability Standards Advisory

The following represents an updated list of the best available standard(s) and implementation specification(s) in comparison to the 2015 Advisory. The list is not exhaustive but it is expected that future advisories will incrementally address a broader range of clinical health IT interoperability needs.

While the standards and implementation specifications included in an advisory may also be adopted in regulation (already or in the future), required as part of a testing and certification program, or included as procurement conditions, the advisory is non-binding and serves to provide clarity, consistency, and predictability for the public regarding ONC's assessment of the best available standards and implementation specifications for a given interoperability need. It is also plausible, intended, and expected for advisories to be "ahead" of where a regulatory requirement may be, in which case a standard or implementation specification's reference in an advisory may serve as the basis for industry or government action.

When one standard or implementation specification is listed as the "best available," it reflects ONC's current assessment and prioritization of that standard or implementation specification for a given interoperability need. When more than one standard or implementation specification is listed as the best available, it is intended to prompt industry dialogue as to whether one standard or implementation specification is necessary or if the industry can efficiently interoperate more than one.

"Best Available" Characteristics

The 2015 Advisory introduced several "characteristics" and additional factors by which standards and implementation specifications were determined to be the "best available." For example, whether a standard was in widespread use or required by regulation. Public comment and feedback from the HIT Standards Committee indicated that more explicit context for each standard and implementation specification would benefit stakeholders and clearly convey a standard's relative maturity and adoptability. ¹

This added context will allow for greater scrutiny of a standard or implementation specification despite its inclusion as the "best available." For instance, a standard may be referenced as best available, yet not be widely adopted or only proven at a small scale. Public comment noted that in the absence of additional context, stakeholders could inadvertently over-interpret the "best available" reference and apply a standard or implementation specification to a particular interoperability need when it may not necessarily be ready or proven at a particular scale.

The 2016 Advisory uses the following six informative characteristics to provide added context. When known, it also lists an "emerging alternative" to a standard or implementation specification, which is shaded in a lighter color, and italicized for additional emphasis.

¹ This approach uses a subset of the key attributes described in "Evaluating and classifying the readiness of technology specifications for national standardization Dixie B Baker, Jonathan B Perlin, John Halamka, Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association May 2015, 22 (3) 738-743; DOI: 10.1136/amiajnl-2014-002802

Interoperability need: [Descriptive Text]						
Standard/ Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	Final	Production		Yes	Free	Yes
Emerging Alternative Standard	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Descriptive text with "(recommended by the HIT Standards Committee)" included in cases where the HIT Standards	Descriptive text
Committee recommended the text, and on which public feedback is sought.	

The following describes the six characteristics that were added to the Advisory in detail in order to better inform stakeholders about the maturity and adoptability of a given standard or implementation specification and provides definitions for the terms and symbols used throughout the Advisory.

#1: Standards Process Maturity

This characteristic conveys a standard or implementation specification's maturity in terms of its stage within a particular organization's approval/voting process.

- "Final" when this designation is assigned, the standard or implementation specification is considered "final text" or "normative" by the organization that maintains it.
- "Draft" when this designation is assigned, the standard or implementation specification is considered to be a Draft Standard for Trial Use (DSTU) or in a "trial implementation" status by the organization that maintains it.

#2: Implementation Maturity

This characteristic conveys a standard or implementation specification's maturity based on its implementation state.

- "Production" when this designation is assigned, the standard or implementation specification is being used in production to meet a health care interoperability need.
- "Pilot" when this designation is assigned, the standard or implementation specification is being used at limited scale or only as part of pilots to meet a health care interoperability need.

#3: Adoption Level

This characteristic conveys a standard or implementation specification's approximate level of adoption in health care. The following scale is used:

- "Unknown" indicates no known status for the current level of adoption in health care.
- • • • o o o indicates 0% to 20% adoption.
- • • • o o indicates 21% to 40% adoption.
- • • • o o indicates 41% to 60% adoption.
- • • o indicates 61% to 80% adoption.
- • • • indicates 81% to 100% adoption.

#4: Regulated

This characteristic (provided as a "Yes" or "No") conveys whether a standard or implementation specification has been adopted in regulation or required by HHS for a particular interoperability need.

#5: Cost

This characteristic conveys whether a standard or implementation specification costs money to obtain.

- "\$" when this designation is assigned, it signifies that some type of payment needs to be made in order to obtain the standard or implementation specification.
- "Free" when this designation is assigned, it signifies that the standard or implementation specification can be obtained without cost. This designation applies even if a user account or license agreement is required to obtain the standard at no cost.

#6: Test Tool Availability

This characteristic conveys whether a test tool is available to evaluate health IT's conformance to the standard or implementation specification for the particular interoperability need.

- "Yes" when this designation is assigned, it signifies that a test tool is available for a standard or implementation specification and is free to use. Where available, a hyperlink pointing to the test tool will be included.
- "Yes\"- when this designation is assigned, it signifies that a test tool is available for a standard or implementation specification and has a cost associated with its use. Where available, a hyperlink pointing to the test tool will be included.
- "No" when this designation is assigned, it signifies that no test tool is available for a standard or implementation specification.
- "N/A" when this designation is assigned, it signifies that a test tool for the standard or implementation would be "not applicable."

The Structure of Sections I through III

For the purposes of the lists that follow, a specific version of the standard or implementation specification is not listed unless it makes a helpful distinction. The standards and associated implementation specifications for clinical health IT interoperability are grouped into these categories:

- Vocabulary/code sets/terminology (i.e., "semantics").
- *Content/structure* (i.e., "syntax").
- Services (i.e., the infrastructure components deployed and used to fulfill specific interoperability needs)

At the recommendation of the HIT Standards Committee, we have removed the "transport" section which previously referenced low-level transport standards because 1) it was deemed to not provide additional clarity/value to stakeholders; and 2) the standards and implementation specifications in the "services" section included them as applicable. Thus, focusing on that section in addition to vocabulary and content were deemed more impactful and necessary.

Section IV includes questions on which public input is requested.

Last, as noted in the 2015 Advisory, this Advisory is not intended to imply that a standard listed in one section would always be used or implemented independent of a standard in another section. To the contrary, it will often be necessary to combine the applicable standards from multiple sections to achieve interoperability for a particular clinical health information interoperability purpose.

Section I: Best Available Vocabulary/Code Set/Terminology Standards and Implementation Specifications

I-A: Allergies

Interoperability Need:	Representing patient allergic reactions						
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	SNOMED-CT	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	N/A
Limitations, Dependencies,	and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable	Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			
Feedback requested		• Feedba	ck requested				

Interoperability Need: Representing patient allergens: medications								
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standar Maturit	rds Process y	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	<u>RxNorm</u>]	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:			Applicable	Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			
When a medication allergy necessitates capture by medication class, NDF-RT is best available (as recommended by the HIT Standards Committee)		• Feedba	ck requested					

Interoperability Need: Representing patient allergens: food substances							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	SNOMED-CT	Final	Unknown	Unknown	No	Free	N/A
Limitations Dependencies and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:							

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

Interoperability Need: Representing patient allergens: environmental substances								
Туре		Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standar	d	[See Question 4-5]						
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:								

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:				
Currently, there are no vocabulary code sets considered "best available" for	Feedback requested				
environmental allergens.	•				

I-B: Care Team Member

Interoperability Need: Representing care team member (health care provider)							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	National Provider Identifier (NPI)	Final	Production	••000	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• For the purpose of recording a care team member, it should be noted that NPI	Feedback requested
permits, but does not require, non-billable care team members to apply for an NPI	
number to capture the concept of 'person'.	
• There is a SNOMED-CT value set for a "subjects role in the care setting" that could	
also be used in addition to NPI for care team members.	

I-C: Encounter Diagnosis

Interoperability Need: 1	Documenting patient encounter diagnos	sis					
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	SNOMED-CT	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	ICD-10-CM	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

I-D: Race and Ethnicity

Interoperability Need:	Representing patient race and ethnicity	,					
Туре			Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	OMB standards for Maintaining, Collecting, and Presenting Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity, Statistical Policy Directive No. 15, Oct 30, 1997	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• The <u>CDC Race and Ethnicity Code Set Version 1.0</u> , which expands upon the OMB standards may help to further define race and ethnicity for this interoperability need as it allows for multiple races and ethnicities to be chosen for the same patient.	Feedback requested
 The HIT Standards Committee noted that the high-level race/ethnicity categories in the OMB Standard may be suitable for statistical or epidemiologic purposes but may not be adequate in the pursuit of precision medicine and enhancing therapy or clinical decisions. 	

I-E: Family Health History

Interoperability Need: 1	Interoperability Need: Representing patient family health history												
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability						
Standard	SNOMED-CT	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A						

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Some details around family genomic health history may not be captured by SNOMED-CT (recommended by the HIT Standards Committee)	Feedback requested

I-F: Functional Status/Disability

Interoperability Need: Representing patient functional status and/or disability												
Type Standard			Process	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability				
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:												
Feedback requested												

I-G: Gender Identity, Sex, and Sexual Orientation

Interoperability Need: Representing patient gender identity											
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability				
Standard	SNOMED-CT	Final	Unknown	Unknown	No	Free	N/A				

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on	Feedback requested
patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations	•
issued in a <u>report</u> by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine.	

Interoperability Need: 1	Interoperability Need: Representing patient sex (at birth)												
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability						
Standard	For Male and Female, <u>HL7 Version 3 Value</u> <u>Set for Administrative Gender</u>	Final	Production		No	Free	N/A						
Standard	For Unknown, <u>HL7 Version 3 Null Flavor</u>	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	N/A						

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Aı	plicable	Security Patterns f	for Consideration:	•	
The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on	•	Feedba	ck requested			
patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations						
issued in a report by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine.						

Interoperability Need:	Representing patient sexual orientation							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification			Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	SNOMED-CT	I	Final	Unknown	Unknown	No	Free	N/A
Limitations, Dependencies,	and Preconditions for Consideration:		Applicable	Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			
The HIT Standards Committee recommended collecting discrete structured data on patient gender identity, sex, and sexual orientation following recommendations issued in a report by The Fenway Institute and the Institute of Medicine.			• Feedba	ck requested				

I-H: Immunizations

Interoperability Need: Representing immunizations – historical										
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	HL7 Standard Code Set CVX—Clinical Vaccines Administered	Final	Production	•••••	Yes	Free	N/A			
Standard	HL7 Standard Code Set MVX -Manufacturing Vaccine Formulation	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	N/A			

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:		Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
•	HL7 CVX codes are designed to represent administered and historical	Feedback requested
	immunizations and will not contain manufacturer-specific information.	
•	When an MVX code is paired with a CVX (vaccine administered) code, the specific	
	trade named vaccine may be indicated providing further specificity as to the	
	vaccines administered.	

Interoperability Need: 1	Interoperability Need: Representing immunizations – administered											
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability					
Standard	HL7 Standard Code Set CVX—Clinical Vaccines Administered	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A					
Standard	National Drug Code	Final	Production	•••••	No	Free	N/A					

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
 HL7 CVX codes are designed to represent administered and historical 	Feedback requested
immunizations and will not contain manufacturer-specific information.	*
 According to the HIT Standards Committee, National Drug (NDC) codes may 	
provide value to stakeholders for inventory management, packaging, lot numbers,	
etc., but do not contain sufficient information to be used for documenting an	
administered immunization across organizational boundaries.	

I-I: Industry and Occupation

Interoperability Need: Representing patient industry and occupation										
Type Standard	Standard/Implementation Specification [See Question 4-5]	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Limitations, Dependencies, a • Feedback requested	and Preconditions for Consideration:		e Security Patterns f	or Consideration:						

I-J: Lab tests

nteroperability Need: Representing laboratory tests and observations									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standar Maturit	ds Process y	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability	
Standard	LOINC	I	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A	
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: The HIT Standards Committee recommended that laboratory test and observation work in conjunction with values or results which can be answered numerically or categorically. If the value/result/answer to a laboratory test and observation is categorical that answer should be represented with the SNOMED-CT terminology. The HIT Standards Committee recommended that organizations not using LOINC codes should maintain and publish a mapping of their codes to the LOINC equivalent until migration to LOINC has occurred.			**	Security Patterns factoring from the security Patterns factoring f	for Consideration:				

I-K: Medications

Interoperability Need	: Representing patient medications						
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	RxNorm	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: • Feedback requested			e Security Patterns to	for Consideration:			

I-L: Numerical References & Values

_	Type	Standard/Implementation Specification		ds Process	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
	Standard	The Unified Code of Units of Measure	Final		Production	••••	No	Free	N/A
Ī	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:				Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			
The case sensitive version is the correct unit string to be used for interoperability purposes per HIT Standards Committee recommendations.		• Feedba	ck requested						

I-M: Patient "problems" (i.e. conditions)

Interoperability Need: Representing patient "problems" (i.e., conditions)										
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	SNOMED-CT	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A			

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

I-N: Preferred Language

Interoperability Need:	Representing patient preferred language	ge					
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	RFC 5646	Final	Production	Unknown	No	Free	N/A
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: RFC 5646 encompasses ISO 639-1, ISO 639-2, ISO 639-3 and other standards related to identifying preferred language.			Security Patterns 1	or Consideration:			

I-O: Procedures

Feedback requested

Interoperability Need: Representing dental procedures performed										
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	Code on Dental Procedures and Nomenclature (CDT)	Final	Production	••••	Yes	\$	N/A			
Limitations, Dependencies,	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:									
CDT is a proprietary terminology standard.			ck requested			•				

Interoperability Need: Representing medical procedures performed							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	SNOMED-CT	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A
Standard	the combination of <u>CPT-4/HCPCS</u>	Final	Production	••••	Yes	\$	N/A
Standard	ICD-10-PCS	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:							

• Feedback requested

I-P: Radiology (interventions and procedures)

Interoperability Need: Representing radiological interventions and procedures									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
Standard	LOINC	Final	Production	••000	No	Free	N/A		
/	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: Applicable security Patterns for Consideration:								

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• Radlex and LOINC are currently in the process of creating a common data model to	Feedback requested
link the two standards together to promote standardized indexing of radiology terms	
as indicated by public comments and HIT Standards Committee recommendations.	

I-Q: Smoking Status

-	Interoperability Need: 1	Representing patient smoking status						
	Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
	Standard	SNOMED-CT	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Ap	pplicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• According to the HIT Standards Committee, there are limitations in SNOMED-CT	•	Feedback requested
for this interoperability need, which include not being able to capture severity of		
dependency, quit attempts, lifetime exposure, and use of e-Cigarettes.		

I-R: Unique Device Identification

Interoperability Need: Representing unique implantable device identifiers									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
Standard	Unique device identifier as defined by the Food and Drug Administration at 21 CFR 830.3	Final	Production	•0000	Yes	Free	N/A		

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

I-S: Vital Signs

Interoperability Need:	Recording patient vital signs						
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	LOINC	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	N/A

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

Section II: Best Available Content/Structure Standards and Implementation Specifications

II-A: Admission, Discharge, and Transfer

Interoperability Need: Sending a notification of a patient's admission, discharge and/or transfer status										
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	HL7 2.x ADT message	Final	Production	•••••	No	Free	No			

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• Any HL7 2.x version messaging standard associated with ADT is acceptable.	Feedback requested
A variety of transport protocols are available for use for ADT delivery. Trading	
partners will need to determine which transport tools best meet their	
interoperability needs.	

II-B: Care Plan

Interoperability Need: Documenting patient care plans							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1	Draft	Pilot	Unknown	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:			Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			
Feedback requested		• Feedba	ck requested				

II-C: Clinical Decision Support

Interoperability Need: Shareable clinical decision support							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 Implementation Guide: Clinical Decision Support Knowledge Artifact Implementation Guide, Release 1.3, Draft Standard for Trial Use.	Draft	Pilot	Unknown	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencie	es, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable	Security Patterns f	for Consideration:	•		
Feedback requested			ack requested				

II-D: Drug Formulary & Benefits

	Interoperability Need: The ability for pharmacy benefit payers to communicate formulary and benefit information to prescribers systems								
	T.		Standards Process	Implementation	Adoption	D 1.1	a .	Test Tool	
ı	Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Maturity	Maturity	Level	Regulated	Cost	Availability	
	Standard	NCPDP Formulary and Benefits v3.0	Final	Production	••••	Yes	\$	No	

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
The HIT Standards Committee noted that the NCPDP Real Time Prescription	Feedback requested
Benefit Inquiry (RTPBI) is an alternative in development that should be monitored	•
as a potential emerging alternative.	

II-E: Electronic Prescribing

Interoperability Need: A prescriber's ability to create a new prescription to electronically send to a pharmacy									
	Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability	
	Standard	NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6	Final	Production	••••	Yes	\$	Yes	

Ī	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
	• The "New Prescription" transaction is best suited for this interoperability need.	Feedback requested
	• Both the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy must have their systems configured	
L	for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange.	

Interoperability Need: 1	Prescription refill request						
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6	Final	Production	••••	No	\$	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:			pplicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
•	The "Refill Request" transaction is best suited for this interoperability need.	•	Feedback requested
•	Both the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy must have their systems configured		
	for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange.		

Interoperability Need: (Cancellation of a prescription						
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6	Final	Production	Unknown	No	\$	No

	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
	• The "Cancel" transaction is best suited for this interoperability need.	Feedback requested
	• Both the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy must have their systems configured	
L	for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange.	

Interoperability Need:	Pharmacy notifies prescriber of prescri	iption fill status					
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6	Final	Production	Unknown	No	\$	No
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:							
The "Fill Status" transaction is best suited for this interpreparability mad			-141				

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
 The "Fill Status" transaction is best suited for this interoperability need. 	Feedback requested
Both the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy must have their systems configured	•
for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange.	

Interoperability Need: A prescriber's ability to obtain a patient's medication history									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
Standard	NCPDP SCRIPT Standard, Implementation Guide, Version 10.6	Final	Production	•••00	No	\$	No		

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Ap	plicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• The "Medication History" transaction is best suited for this interoperability need.	•	Feedback requested
• Both the prescriber and the receiving pharmacy must have their systems configured		•
for the transaction in order to facilitate successful exchange.		

II-F: Family health history (clinical genomics)

Interoperability Need: Representing family health history for clinical genomics										
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	HL7 Version 3 Standard: Clinical Genomics; Pedigree	Final	Production	•0000	Yes	Free	No			
Implementation Specification	HL7 Version 3 Implementation Guide: Family History/Pedigree Interoperability, Release 1	Final	Production	•0000	No	Free	No			

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
 According to the HIT Standards Committee, there is no available vocabulary to 	Feedback requested
capture family genomic health history.	
 According to the HIT Standards Committee, further constraint of this standard and 	
implementation specification may be required to support this interoperability need.	

II-G: Images

[See Question 4-7]

Interoperability Need: 1	Interoperability Need: Medical image formats for data exchange and distribution									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM)	Final	Production	•••••	No	Free	No			
Implementation Specification	Image Acquisition Technology Specific Service/Object Pairs (SOP) Classes [See Question 4-8]	Final	Production	•0000	No	Free	No			
Limitations, Dependencies,	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:									
Feedback requested Feedback requested										

Interoperability Need	: Exchange of imaging reports					ı	
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM)	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	PS3.20 Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) Standard – Part 20: Imaging Reports using HL7 Clinical Document Architecture.	Final	Production	•0000	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:							

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

II-H: Laboratory

Interoperability Need:	Receive electronic laboratory test result	S						
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standar Maturit	ds Process y	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 2.5.1	I	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Lab Results Interface, Release 1—US Realm [HL7 Version 2.5.1: ORU_R01] Draft Standard for Trial Use, July 2012	I	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Results Interface Implementation Guide, Release 1 DSTU Release 2 - US Realm [no hyperlink available yet]	Draft		Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencies,	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:				or Consideration:			
 HL7 Laboratory US Realm Value Set Companion Guide, Release 1, September 2015, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized requirements. 				ck requested				

Interoperability Need: Ordering labs for a patient								
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability	
Standard	<u>HL7 2.5.1</u>	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No	
Implementation specification	HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Orders from EHR, Release 1 DSTU Release 2 - US Realm [no hyperlink available yet]	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No	

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
HL7 Laboratory US Realm Value Set Companion Guide, Release 1, September	Feedback requested
2015, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized	
requirements.	

Interoperability Need:	nteroperability Need: Support the transmission of a laboratory's directory of services to health IT.									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	HL7 2.5.1	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No			
Standard	HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Test Compendium Framework, Release 2, DSTU Release 2 [no hyperlink available yet]	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No			
Limitations, Dependencies,	and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable	Security Patterns f	or Consideration:						
• HL7 Laboratory US Realm Value Set Companion Guide, Release 1, September • Feedback requested										

II-I: Patient Education Materials

requirements.

2015, provides cross-implementation guide value set definitions and harmonized

Interoperability Need resources	l: A standard mechanism for clinical info	ormation systems to	request context	-specific clinica	al knowledg	ge form	online
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 Version 3 Standard: Context Aware Knowledge Retrieval Application. ("Infobutton"), Knowledge Request, Release 2.	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	No
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide: Service- Oriented Architecture Implementations of the Context-aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton) Domain, Release 1.	Final	Production	•••00	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	HL7 Version 3 Implementation Guide: Context-Aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton), Release 4.	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:• Feedback requested• Feedback requested							

II-J: Patient Preference/Consent

[See Question 4-9]

Interoperability Need:	Recording patient preferences for elect	tronic consent to acc	cess and/or shar	e their health i	nformation	with o	ther care
providers							

providers							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	IHE Basic Patient Privacy Consents (BPPC)	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	IHE Cross Enterprise User Authorization (XUA)	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

II-K: Public Health Reporting

Interoperability Need: Reporting antimicrobial use and resistance information to public health agencies								
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability	
Standard	HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition	Final	Production	•••••	No	Free	No	
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2 – Level 3: Healthcare Associated Infection Reports, Release 1, U.S. Realm.	Final	Production	••000	No	Free	No	

1	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Ap	oplicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
•	This is a national reporting system to CDC. Stakeholders should refer to	•	Feedback requested
	implementation guide for additional details and contract information for enrolling		
	in the program.		

Interoperability Need:	Interoperability Need: Reporting cancer cases to public health agencies							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability	
Standard	HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition	Final	Production	•••••	No	Free	No	
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Reporting to Public Health Cancer Registries from Ambulatory Healthcare Providers, Release 1 - US Realm	Draft	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes	
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	HL7 CDA ® Release 2 Implementation Guide: Reporting to Public Health Cancer Registries from Ambulatory Healthcare Providers, Release 1, DSTU Release 1.1 – US Realm	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No	
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:								

]	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	A	pplicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
•	• Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction	•	Feedback requested
	to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if		•
	applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting		
	cancer reporting data as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements.		

Interoperability Need: Case reporting to public health agencies									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
(1) Implementation Specification	IHE Quality, Research, and Public Health Technical Framework Supplement, Structured Data Capture, Trial Implementation, HL7 Consolidated CDA® Release 2.0	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No		
(2) Standard	Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR)	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No		
(2) Implementation Specification	Structured Data Capture Implementation Guide	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No		

Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:				
lback requested`				

Interoperability Need: Electronic transmission of reportable lab results to public health agencies									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
Standard	HL7 2.5.1	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	No		
Implementation specification	HL7 Version 2.5.1: Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health (US Realm), Release 1 with Errata and Clarifications and ELR 2.5.1 Clarification Document for EHR Technology Certification	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes		
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health, Release 2 (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 1.1	Draft	Pilot	Unknown	No	Free	No		

	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Appl	licable Security Patterns for Consideration:
	• Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction	• F	Feedback requested
	to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if		
	applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting		
L	ELR as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements.		

Interoperability Need: Sending health care survey information to public health agencies									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
Standard	HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition	Final	Production	•••••	No	Free	No		
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® R2: National Health Care Surveys (NHCS), Release 1 - US Realm [See Question 4-6]	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No		

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
This is a national reporting system to CDC. Stakeholders should refer to the	Feedback requested
National Health Care Survey Program at:	
http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhcs/how_to_participate.htm for information on	
participation.	

Interoperability Need:	Reporting administered immunizations	to immunization r	egistry		T	ı	
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 2.5.1	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	No
Implementation Specification	HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.4	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5	Final	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencies,	and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable	Security Patterns f	or Consideration:	•	•	•

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction	Feedback requested
to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if	
applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting	
immunization registry data as there may be jurisdictional variation or requirements.	

Interoperability Need:	Reporting syndromic surveillance to pu	blic health (emerg	ency departmen	t, inpatient, and	d urgent ca	re setti	ngs)
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 2.5.1	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	No
Implementation Specification	PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department and Urgent Care Data Release 1.1	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department, Urgent Care, Inpatient and Ambulatory Care Settings, Release 2.0	Final	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Ar	pplicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• Stakeholders should refer to the health department in their state or local jurisdiction	•	Feedback requested
to determine onboarding procedures, obtain a jurisdictional implementation guide if		
applicable, and determine which transport methods are acceptable for submitting		
syndromic surveillance data as there may be jurisdictional variation or		
requirements.		

II-L: Quality Reporting

Interoperability Need:	Reporting aggregate quality data to qu	iality reporting initi	atives				
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category III (QRDA III), DRAFT Release 1	Draft	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes
Limitations, Dependencies,	and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable	Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			
Feedback requested		• Feedba	ck requested				

Interoperability Need: Reporting patient-level quality data to quality reporting initiatives							
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Quality Reporting Document Architecture – Category I, DSTU Release 2 (US Realm)	Draft	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	HL7 CDA® R2 Implementation Guide: Quality Reporting Document Architecture - Category I (QRDA I) DSTU Release 3 (US Realm)	Draft	Pilot	•0000	Yes	Free	Yes
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:			Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			
 Feedback requested 		• Feedba	ck requested				

II-M: Representing clinical health information as a "resource"

Interoperability Need: Representing clinical health information as "resource"										
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR)	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No			

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

II-N: Segmentation of sensitive information

Interoperability Need:	Document-level segmentation of sensit	ive information					
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	Consolidated HL7 Implementation Guide: Data Segmentation for Privacy (DS4P), Release 1	Final	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencies, • Feedback requested	and Preconditions for Consideration:		Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			

II-O: Summary care record

Interoperability Need:	Support a transition of care or referral	to another provide	r				
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	HL7 Clinical Document Architecture (CDA®), Release 2.0, Final Edition	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	Consolidated CDA® Release 1.1 (HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: IHE Health Story Consolidation, DSTU Release 1.1 - US Realm)	Draft	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1	Draft	Pilot	Unknown	No	Free	No

]	Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Aŗ	pplicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
•	There are several specific document templates within the C-CDA implementation	•	Feedback requested
	specification. Trading partners will need to ensure that their systems are capable of		
	supporting specific document templates.		

Section III: Best Available Standards and Implementation Specifications for Services

[See Question 4-10]

III-A: An unsolicited "push" of clinical health information to a known destination

[See Question 4-3]

nteroperability Need:	An unsolicited "push" of clinical healt	h information to a l	known destinatio	n between indi	viduals			
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability	
Standard	Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.1 ("Direct")	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes	
Emerging Alternative Standard	Applicability Statement for Secure Health Transport v1.2	Final	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No	
Implementation Specification	XDR and XDM for Direct Messaging Specification	Final	Final Production	Production	••••	Yes	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	IG for Direct Edge Protocols	Final	Production	••000	Yes	Free	Yes	
Implementation Specification	IG for Delivery Notification in Direct	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No	
Emerging Alternative Standard	Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR)	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No	

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Ap	pplicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
• "Direct" standard is based upon the underlying standard: Simple Mail Transfer	•	System Authentication - The information and process necessary to authenticate
Protocol (SMTP) RFC 5321 and for security uses Secure/Multipurpose Internet		the systems involved
Mail Extensions (S/MIME) Version 3.2 Message Specification, RFC 5751.	•	Recipient Encryption - the message and health information are encrypted for the
 For Direct, interoperability may be dependent on the establishment of "trust" 		intended user
between two parties and may vary based on the trust community(ies) to which	•	Sender Signature – details that are necessary to identity of the individual sending
parties belong.		the message

Interoperability Need:	An unsolicited "push" of clinical healtl	n informa	ition to a k	nown destinatio	n between syste	ems		
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standard Maturity	s Process	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Standard	SOAP-Based Secure Transport Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM) version 1.0 specification	Final		Production	•••00	Yes	Free	Yes
Implementation Specification	IHE-XDR (Cross-Enterprise Document Reliable Interchange)	Final		Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	NwHIN Specification: Authorization Framework	F	inal	Production	••••	No	Free	No
Implementation Specification	NwHIN Specification: Messaging Platform	F	inal	Production	•••00	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencies,	and Preconditions for Consideration:		Applicable	Security Patterns f	or Consideration:			
 The IHE-XDR implementation specification is based upon the underlying standards: SOAP v2, and OASIS ebXML Registry Services 3.0 The NwHIN Specification: Authorization Framework implementation specification is based upon the underlying standards: SAML v1.2, XSPAv1.0, and WS-1.1. 			System the systPurposPatient	Authentication - dems involved se of Use - Identifies Consent Information ired before data can	The information an the purpose for the ion - Identifies the p	d process necestransaction	·	

III-B: Clinical Decision Support Services

Interoperability Need: Providing patient-specific assessments and recommendations based on patient data for clinical decision support										
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Standard	HL7 Version 3 Standard: Decision Support Service, Release 2.	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No			
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide: Decision Support Service, Release 1.1, US Realm, Draft Standard for Trial Use	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No			
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:										
Feedback requested • Feedback requested										

Interoperability Need: Retrieval of contextually relevant, patient-specific knowledge resources from within clinical information systems to answer clinical questions raised by patients in the course of care									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
Standard	HL7 Version 3 Standard: Context Aware Knowledge Retrieval Application. ("Infobutton"), Knowledge Request, Release 2.	Final	Production	••••	Yes	Free	No		
Implementation Specification	HL7 Implementation Guide: Service-Oriented Architecture Implementations of the Context- aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton) Domain, Release 1.	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No		
Implementation Specification	HL7 Version 3 Implementation Guide: Context-Aware Knowledge Retrieval (Infobutton), Release 4.	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No		
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: • Feedback requested • Feedback requested									

III-C: Image Exchange

		Standards Process	Implementation	Adoption			Test Tool
Type	Standard/Implementation Specification	Maturity	Maturity	Level	Regulated	Cost	Availability
Implementation Specification	IHE Cross Enterprise Document Sharing for Images (XDS-I)	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependence	Applicable	Security Patterns f	or Consideration:				
 Feedback requested 	·	 Feedba 	ck requested				

Interoperability Need: Exchanging imaging documents among a group of affiliated entities

III-D: Provider Directory

Interoperability Need: Listing of providers for access by potential exchange partners									
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
Implementation Specification	IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Healthcare Provider Directory (HPD), Trial Implementation	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	Yes		
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:									

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

III-E: Publish and Subscribe

Interoperability Need: Publish and subscribe message exchange										
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability			
Implementation Specification	NwHIN Specification: Health Information Event Messaging Production Specification	Final	Production	•••00	No	Free	No			
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	IHE Document Metadata Subscription (DSUB), Trial Implementation	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No			

Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration:	Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:
Feedback requested	Feedback requested

III-F: Query

Interoperability Need: (nteroperability Need: Query for documents within a specific health information exchange domain								
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability		
Implementation Specification	IHE-XDS (Cross-enterprise document sharing)	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No		
Implementation Specification	IHE-PDQ (Patient Demographic Query)	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No		
Implementation Specification	IHE-PIX (Patient Identifier Cross-Reference)	Final	Production	••••	No	Free	No		
Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	IHE – MHD (Mobile Access to Health Documents)	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No		
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: • IHE-PIX and IHE-PDQ are used for the purposes of patient matching and to support this interoperability need. • Feedback requested									

ormation exchange doma	ain			
Process Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
al Production	••••	No	Free	No
al Production	•••00	No	Free	No
al Production	••••	No	Free	No
al Production	•••00	No	Free	No
al Production	••••	No	Free	No
Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration: • System Authentication - The information and process necessary to authenticate the systems involved • User Details - identifies the end user who is accessing the data • User Role - identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the transaction • Purpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction • Patient Consent Information - Identifies the patient consent information that the required before data can be accessed.				
Use Pur Pat be r	er Role - identifies the repose of Use - Identifies int Consent Informate required before data can ery Request ID - Query	er Role - identifies the role asserted by the rpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the cient Consent Information - Identifies the required before data can be accessed. ery Request ID - Query requesting applica	er Role - identifies the role asserted by the individual initiarpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction client Consent Information - Identifies the patient consent required before data can be accessed. ery Request ID - Query requesting application assigns a	er Role - identifies the role asserted by the individual initiating the rpose of Use - Identifies the purpose for the transaction item. Consent Information - Identifies the patient consent information.

nteroperability Need: Data element based query for clinical health information								
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Proc Maturity	ess Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability	
Standard	Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR)	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No	
Limitations, Dependencies,	and Preconditions for Consideration:	Appl	cable Security Patterns	for Consideration:				
 Feedback requested System Authentication - The the systems involved User Details - identifies the element of the systems involved User Role - identifies the role Purpose of Use - Identifies the element of the Patient Consent Information be required before data can be element of the Patient Consent Information Consent Information (Information Consent Information Consent Information Consent Information Co					accessing the dindividual inition transaction patient consen	ata ating the t informa	e transaction ation that may dentifier for	

III-G: Resource Location

interoperability Need.	Resource location within the US	T	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	T		
Туре	Standard/Implementation Specification	Standards Process Maturity	Implementation Maturity	Adoption Level	Regulated	Cost	Test Tool Availability
Implementation Specification	IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Care Services Discovery (CSD), Trial Implementation	Draft	Pilot	•0000	No	Free	No
Limitations, Dependencies, and Preconditions for Consideration: Applicable Security Patterns for Consideration:							
Feedback requested Feedback requested							

Section IV: Questions and Requests for Stakeholder Feedback

Similar to the 2015 Advisory, this draft gives stakeholders a body of work from which to react in order to prompt continued dialogue to improve the Advisory. As stated in the Introduction, this draft 2016 Advisory will continue to be refined during the public comment period. Additionally, because this draft includes both new structural and content sections please note that content for many of the new structural subsections is intentionally incomplete. Those sections that are more fully populated were done so to give the public an early opportunity to weigh in on and react to perceived value that these subsections could provide. Your feedback is critical to improve and refine these new subsections. Please visit http://www.healthit.gov/standards-advisory to provide your comments and suggestions.

General

- 4-1. In the 2015 Advisory, each standard and implementation specification was listed under a "purpose." Prior public comments and HIT Standards Committee <u>recommendations</u> suggested that the Advisory should convey a clearer link to the ways in which standards need to support business and functional requirements. This draft attempts to do so and lists standards and implementation specifications under more descriptive "interoperability needs." Please provide feedback on whether revision from "purpose" to "interoperability need" provides the additional requested context and suggestions for how to continue to improve this portion.
- 4-2. For each standard and implementation specification there are six assessment characteristics. Please review the information provided in each of these tables and check for accuracy. Also, please help complete any missing or "unknown" information.
- 4-3. For each standard and implementation specifications, there is a table that lists security patterns. This draft only includes select examples for how this section would be populated in the future. Please review examples found in Sections III-A and III-F and provide feedback as to the usefulness of this approach and any information you know for a specific interoperability need.
- 4-4. For each interoperability need, there is a table beneath the standards and implementation specifications that includes limitations, dependencies, and preconditions. This draft only includes select examples for how this section would be populated in the future. Please review populated sections and provide feedback as to the usefulness of this approach and any specific information you know for a specific interoperability need.

Section I: Vocabulary/Code Set

4-5. Based on public feedback and HIT Standards Committee review, there does not appear to be a best available standard for several "interoperability needs" expressed in this section of the draft Advisory. Please provide feedback on whether this is correct or recommend a standard (and your accompanying rationale).

Section II: Content / Structure

4-6. Should more generalized survey instruments such as the IHE Profile Retrieve Form for Data Capture be considered?

- 4-7. In addition to the two interoperability needs already listed, are there others that should be included related to imaging? If so, what would the best available standard and/or implementation specifications be?
- 4-8. Should a more specific/precise aspect of DICOM be referenced for the implementation specification for this interoperability need?
- 4-9. The HIT Standards Committee recommended to ONC that clearer implementation guidance is required. Are there additional implementation specifications that should be considered for this interoperability need?

Section III: Services

4-10. The 2015 Advisory's Section III, Transport has since been removed with content representation migrated as applicable within Section IV Services. What is your view of this approach?

Appendix II: Sources of Security Standards

4-11. Are there other authoritative sources for Security Standards that should be included in Appendix II?

Appendix I - Annual Process to Update the Interoperability Standards Advisory

ONC intends to implement the following timeline and process to update the Interoperability Standards Advisory for subsequent years. Note that timelines are approximate and may vary slightly for a variety of reasons.

• December Preceding the Upcoming Calendar Year

- o The new Interoperability Standards Advisory for the next calendar year is published (e.g., December 2016 for the 2017 Advisory).
- A first round of an approximately 90- to 120-days of public comment period will be opened on that year's Interoperability Standards Advisory.

April/May

- o Sometime during late April/early May the comment period will expire.
- o ONC staff will compile all comments received during the first round comment period.
- ONC staff will present a summary of received comments to the HIT Standards Committee (or designated Task Force) in order to prepare them to make recommendations on updates for the following year's Interoperability Standards Advisory.

• August

- o The HIT Standards Committee submits recommendations to the National Coordinator concerning updates to the following year's Interoperability Standards Advisory.
- A second round of approximately 60-days of public comment will be opened on the HIT Standards Committee's recommendations concerning the Interoperability Standards Advisory.

• October – December

- o Sometime during October the comment period will expire.
- o ONC will review the HIT Standards Committee recommendations as well as public comments on those recommendations.
- o ONC will prepare the next year's Interoperability Standards Advisory for publication.

If a standard or implementation is under development and expected to be completed during this process, it could be considered for inclusion in the next year's Interoperability Standards Advisory. For example, if an implementation guide is expected to be completed in October 2016 for a particular standard, this process should be able to anticipate and accommodate the potential addition of that implementation guide in the 2017 Interoperability Standards Advisory.

Appendix II - Sources of Security Standards

[See Question 4-11]

In this draft Advisory, a structure to capture necessary security patterns associated with interoperability needs is represented (see Section III-A and III-F for examples, and related Question 4-3). To address public comments that requested a distinct security standards section the list below provides a number of sources to which stakeholders can look in order to find the latest applicable security standards. Note that this list is not meant to be exhaustive.

- ASTM: http://www.astm.org/Standards/computerized-system-standards.html
- Information Organization for Standardization (ISO) Information Security Standards: http://www.27000.org/
- National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publications 800 Series: http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html
- NIST's Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS): http://www.nist.gov/itl/fipscurrent.cfm

Appendix III - Revision History

Summary Level Description of Changes

ISA Area	Summary Level Description of	Revision History, Expanded
Abbreviated Introduction	Revision History With the 2015 Advisory, a great deal more 'explanatory' detail was offered to lend context and history and to spark necessary feedback. That level of information for the ISA 2016 within the Introduction was determined unnecessary. Any interest to access history and/or to gain context however, would be supported via link to 2015 Advisory.	 The ISA 2016 bypassed the need of an Executive Summary. The introduction sustained content deemed most relevant Scope precedes Purpose The two Purposes were mildly enhanced and one was added. The third addresses the biggest ISA 2016 change; namely, the added meta data to the table standards/implementation specification structure
Document Restructuring	The Public Comments and ISA Task Force received appreciable comments and direction from the Health IT Standards Committee (HITSC). In order to best serve the range of interests with this and subsequent ISA releases, the primary focus for the 2016 ISA was to address table restructuring particularly focused on finding the best way to add relevant characteristics of a standard/implementation specification thus offering added context. The breadth of changes to document structure has introduced noteworthy content which did extend the volume of the ISA, e.g., greater than 40 pages as compared to the 13 with the original ISA 2015.	 Instead of using the term "purpose," a section's lead-in is framed to convey an "interoperability need" stakeholders may express to convey an outcome they would want to achieve with interoperability. Meta Data describing six informative characteristics has been added to each referenced standard and implementation specification to give readers an overall sense of maturity and level of adoption: Standards Process Maturity; Implementation Maturity; Adoption Level; Regulated; Cost & Testing Tool Availability Interoperability Need has two subsections. The first would identify any known limitations, dependencies, or preconditions associated with best available standards and implementation specifications. The second would identify, where applicable, known "security patterns" associated with best available standards and implementation specifications. This subsection's goal would be to identify the generally reusable security techniques applicable to interoperability need(s) without prescribing or locking-in particular security standards. Transport Section (previously ISA 2015 Section III)), has been removed: 1) it was deemed to not provide additional clarity/value to stakeholders; and 2) the standards and implementation specifications in the "services" section included them as applicable. A security standards sources appendix is included to point stakeholders to the entities that maintain and curate relevant security standards information
Revised Questions	The questions offered, were structured to solicit feedback on changes made to the ISA 2016 and to assist in addressing recommendations where disposition is pending. These are found within Section IV	
Revision History	In order to capture the changes the first ISA received, a Revision History has been introduced and is found in Appendix III.	 The Revision History, Appendix III, records summary & detailed levels changes and will record for the applicable ISA version, the additions, deletions and/or enhancements made as part of the annual review process. Given changes will continue during the Public Comment period and beyond, the Revision History will likewise be updated as changes occur and be cumulative in nature offering traceability.

Additions/Enhancements

Section / Interoperability Need	Standard Added	Description
Overarching		The Interoperability Needs reflected have received edits to expand the context and support the consolidation of like interoperability needs
I-A: Allergies	SNOMED-CT (Food Allergy) NDF-RT (Medication Allergen)	 Per HITSC recommendation, allergies were organized to add distinction between the reaction, the allergen causing the reaction and types of allergen HITSC recommendation were added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions supporting medications and environmental substances allergens
I-B: Care Team Member		HITSC views/recommendations added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions
I-D: Race and Ethnicity	CDC Race and Ethnicity Code Set Version 1.0	HITSC views/recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions
I-E: Family Health History		HITSC views (around family genomic health history) added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions
I-G: Gender Identity, Sex and Sexual Orientation	Reference/link to Fenway Institute of Medicine report offered For Male and Female patient sex (at birth), HL7 Version 3 Value Set for Administrative Gender For Unknown patient sex (at birth), HL7 Version 3 Null Flavor	 Area renamed & reorganized to address interoperability needs connected to Gender Identity, Sex & Sexual Orientation HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions
I-H: Immunizations	For administered: HL7 Standard Code Set CVX—Clinical Vaccines Administered	Historical & Administered: HITSC views / recommendations (surrounding use of CVX and MVX codes) added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions
I-P: Radiology (interventions and procedures	LOINC	Replaced RadLex; per HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions
I-Q: Smoking Status		HITSC recommendation describing the limitations in what SNOMED-CT captures added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions
II-A: Admission, Discharge, and Transfer		 HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions citing acceptability of any HL7 2.x version messaging standard HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions surrounding available transport protocols
II-B: Care Plan		HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions citing availability of transport protocols
II-C: Clinical Decision Support		The standards and specifications supporting what were 3 areas have been combined under interoperability need of "Shareable clinical decision support
II-D Drug Formulary & Benefits		HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions related to monitoring NCPDP Real Time Prescription Benefit inquiry (RTPBI)

Section / Interoperability Need	Standard Added	Description
 II-E: Electronic Prescribing A prescriber's ability to create a new prescription to electronically send to a pharmacy Prescription refill request Cancellation of a prescription Pharmacy notifies prescriber of prescription fill status A prescriber's ability to obtain a patient's medication history 		Area reorganized to address five connected interoperability needs each with recommendations via Limitations, Dependencies and Preconditions to leverage their area's particular transaction and of necessity to have prescriber and receiving pharmacy systems configured to facilitate the exchange
II-F: Family Health History	Lucas Association Technology Cresics	HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions related to lack of vocabulary for family genomic health history and a reference to transport of this data
II-G: Images	Image Acquisition Technology Specific Service/Object Pairs (SOP) Classes	HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions related to need for feedback on new SOP
II-H: Laboratory		
Receive Lab test results	 HL7 2.5.1 as Standard HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Lab Results Interface, Release 1—US Realm [HL7 Version 2.5.1: ORU_R01] Draft Standard for Trial Use, July 2012 from Standard to Implementation Specification HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Results Interface Implementation Guide, Release 1 DSTU Release 2 US Realm as Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification 	Area reorganized to address the three connected interoperability needs and also notes the HL7 Laboratory US Realm Value Set Companion Guide, Release 1, Sep 2015 as a resource for each
 Ordering labs for a patient Support the transmission of a 	- HL7 2.5.1 as Standard - HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Orders from EHR, Release 1 DSTU Release 2 - US Realm as Implementation Specification	
laboratory's directory of services to health IT	 HL7 2.5.1 as Standard HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide: S&I Framework Laboratory Test Compendium Framework, Release 2, DSTU Release 2 as Standard 	
II-J: Patient Preference/Consent	IHE Basic Patient Privacy Consents (BPPC) IHE Cross Enterprise User Authorization (XUA)	Per HITSC recommendations, two implementation specifications added

Section / Interoperability Need	Standard Added	Description		
II-K: Public Health Reporting				
Reporting antimicrobial use and resistance information to PH agencies		 Area reorganized to consolidate seven applicable PH Reporting interoperability needs HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions for stakeholders to refer to health departments in their jurisdiction for added information when transmitting information 		
Reporting cancer cases to PH agencies	HL7 CDA ® Release 2 Implementation Guide: Reporting to Public Health Cancer Registries from Ambulatory Healthcare Providers, Release 1, DSTU Release 1.1 – US Realm as Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification			
Case reporting to PH agencies	 Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) & Structured Data Capture Implementation Guide as Standard Structured Data Capture Implementation Guide as Implementation Specification 			
Electronic transmission of reportable lab results to PH agencies	HL7 Version 2.5.1: Implementation Guide: Electronic Laboratory Reporting to Public Health (US Realm), Release 1 with Errata and Clarifications and ELR 2.5.1 Clarification Document for EHR Technology Certification as Implementation Specification			
Sending health care survey information to PH agencies	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® R2: National Health Care Surveys (NHCS), Release 1 - US Realm inserted as replacement			
Reporting administered immunizations to immunization registry	HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.4 added as an implementation specification HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5 reflected an emerging alternative as Emerging Alternative IS			
Reporting syndromic surveillance to PH (ED, inpatient, and urgent settings)	PHIN Messaging Guide for Syndromic Surveillance: Emergency Department, Urgent CareData Release 1.1 as Implementation Specification			
II-L: Quality Reporting	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Quality Reporting Document Architecture – Category I, DSTU Release 3 (US Realm)			
 II-O: Summary care record Support a transition of care or referral to another provider 	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: Consolidated CDA Templates for Clinical Notes (US Realm), Draft Standard for Trial Use, Release 2.1 as emerging alternative Implementation Specification	HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions regarding specific document templates within the C-CDA Implementation Specification and need for trading partners to have systems supporting the document templates		

Section / Interoperability Need	Standard Added	Description
 III-A: An unsolicited 'push' of clinical health information to a known destination between providers between systems 	Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) as an emerging alternative standard	 HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions regarding Direct standard and its basis standard (SMTP) and for security uses; Direct dependencies also relayed. Approximate nine Applicable Security Patterns were also listed for both interoperability needs The alignment of standards / implementations specifications received minor updates
III-E: Resource Location	IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Care Services Discovery (CSD), Trial Implementation reflected from standard to an Implementation Specification	
III-F: Provider Directory	IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework Supplement, Healthcare Provider Directory (HPD), Trial Implementation reflected from standard to an Implementation Specification	
III-G: Publish and Subscribe	NwHIN Specification: Health Information Event Messaging Production Specification reflected from standard to an Implementation Specification IHE Document Metadata Subscription (DSUB), Trial Implementation as an Emerging Alternative Implementation Specification	

Deletions / Refinements

Section / Interoperability Need	Standard Removed	Description
I-N: Preferred Language	Refined from 4 to 1: RFC 5646	HITSC recommendation added via Limitations, Dependencies & Preconditions citing the fact RFC 5646 contains the others originally listed
I-P: Radiology (interventions and procedures	RadLex	Replaced by LOINC
II-K Public Health Reporting Sending health care survey information to PH agencies	HL7 Implementation Guide for CDA® Release 2: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS), Release 1, US Realm, Volume 1- Introductory Material, Draft Standard for Trial Use replaced	