

# 2015 Edition Certification Companion Guide

## Transmission to Immunization Registries - 45 CFR 170.315(f)(1)

Links will be updated as available: [Final Rule Preamble](#) – [Test Procedure](#) – [Test Tool/Data](#) – [NIST Normative Test Process Document](#)– [MU Specification Sheet](#)

Version 1.1 – Last Updated 10/06/2016

New/Revised/Unchanged Compared to 2014 Edition	Gap Certification Eligible	Base EHR Definition	In Scope for Certified EHR Technology Definition	Associated EHR Incentive Program Objective(s)
Revised	No	No	Yes <sup>1</sup>	Objective 8

### Certification Requirements

**Privacy and Security:** This certification criterion was adopted at § 170.315(f)(1). As a result, an ONC-ACB must ensure that a product presented for certification to a § 170.315(f) “paragraph (f)” criterion includes the privacy and security criteria (adopted in § 170.315(d)) within the overall scope of the certificate issued to the product.

- The privacy and security criteria (adopted in § 170.315(d)) do not need to be explicitly tested with this specific paragraph (f) criterion unless it is the only criterion for which certification is requested.
- As a general rule, a product presented for certification only needs to be tested once to each applicable privacy and security criterion (adopted in § 170.315(d)) so long as the health IT developer attests that such privacy and security capabilities apply to the full scope of capabilities included in the requested certification. However, exceptions exist for § 170.315(e)(1) “VDT” and (e)(2) “secure messaging,” which are explicitly stated.

**Design and Performance:** The following design and performance certification criteria (adopted in § 170.315(g)) must also be certified in order for the product to be certified.

- When a single quality management system (QMS) is used, the QMS only needs to be identified once. Otherwise, the QMS’ need to be identified for every capability to which it was applied.

When a single accessibility-centered design standard is used, the standard only needs to be identified once. Otherwise, the accessibility-centered design standards need to be identified for every capability to which they were applied; or, alternatively the developer must state that no accessibility-centered design was used.

<sup>1</sup> For the public health certification criteria in § 170.315(f), health IT will only need to be certified to those criteria that are required to meet the measures the provider intends to report on to meet Objective 8: Public Health and Clinical Data Registry Reporting.

Privacy and Security (§ 170.315(d))	Design and Performance (§ 170.315(g))
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If choosing Approach 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <a href="#">Authentication, access control, and authorization (§ 170.315(d)(1))</a></li> <li>○ <a href="#">Auditable events and tamper-resistance (§ 170.315(d)(2))</a></li> <li>○ <a href="#">Audit reports (§ 170.315(d)(3))</a></li> <li>○ <a href="#">End-user device encryption (§ 170.315(d)(7))</a></li> </ul> </li> <li>• If choosing Approach 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ For each applicable P&amp;S certification criterion not certified for approach 1, the health IT developer may certify for the criterion using system documentation which provides a clear description of how the external services necessary to meet the P&amp;S criteria would be deployed and used. Please see the 2015 Edition final rule correction notice at <a href="#">80 FR 76870</a> for additional clarification.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">Quality management system (§ 170.315(g)(4))</a></li> <li>• <a href="#">Accessibility-centered design (§ 170.315(g)(5))</a></li> </ul>

## Regulation Text

### Transmission to immunization registries.

- (i) Create immunization information for electronic transmission in accordance with:
  - (A) The standard and applicable implementation specifications specified in § 170.205(e)(4).
  - (B) At a minimum, the version of the standard specified in § 170.207(e)(3) for historical vaccines.
  - (C) At a minimum, the version of the standard specified in § 170.207(e)(4) for administered vaccines.
- (ii) Enable a user to request, access, and display a patient's evaluated immunization history and the immunization forecast from an immunization registry in accordance with the standard at § 170.205(e)(4).

Criterion Subparagraph	Technical Explanations and Clarifications	Standard(s) Referenced
Applies to entire criterion	<p><b>Clarifications:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any health IT can be certified to this criterion if it can meet all the requirements of the criterion, which include context exchange and vocabulary standards. There is <u>no</u> specified transport standard or mechanism required for this criterion. Consequently, any additional products used to facilitate immunization data submission in the manner required by the public health agency are not required to be included as part of Certified EHR Technology (CEHRT) implemented by eligible professionals, eligible hospitals, or critical access hospitals for those CMS programs requiring the use of CEHRT. Please consult CMS regulations for more specific requirements for meeting the CEHRT definition. [see also <a href="#">80 FR 62663</a>]</li> <li>While no transport standard is required for this criterion, an expert panel convened by the CDC and the American Immunization Registry Association (AIRA) has recommended a SOAP-based standard for transport of immunization data. Developers have the discretion to decide which transport standard(s) to implement. [see also <a href="#">77 FR 54240</a>]</li> <li>CDC has issued an addendum to the HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide (IG) for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5. The addendum consolidates the IG information that clarifies the conformance requirements, but does not specify additional substantive requirements. We refer developers to the addendum for specific information about the clarifications it includes. [see also <a href="#">80 FR 62663</a>]</li> <li>The criterion is not intended to specify when submissions should be made or the periodicity of the submissions. Consequently, submitting batch files to an immunization registry, provided that they are formatted according to the adopted standards referenced by this certification criterion, is not prohibited by this certification criterion and would be acceptable. [see also <a href="#">FAQ #2</a>]</li> <li>The process for submitting immunization data often differs between public health agencies. We recommend developers work with the state or local immunization registry for guidance on how to submit the immunization data.</li> </ul>	Please see below.

Criterion Subparagraph	Technical Explanations and Clarifications	Standard(s) Referenced
Applies to entire criterion, continued	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We provide the following OIDs to assist developers in the proper identification and exchange of health information coded to certain vocabulary standards. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HL7 Standard Code Set CVX – Vaccine Administered OID: 2.16.840.1.113883.12.292</li> <li>National Drug Code Directory OID: 2.16.840.1.113883.6.69 [see also <a href="#">80 FR 62612</a>]</li> </ul> </li> <li>Health IT Modules can present for certification to a more recent version of the CVX – Vaccines Administered and National Drug Code Directory – Vaccine Codes code sets than the August 17, 2015 updates per ONC’s policy that permits certification to a more recent version of certain vocabulary standards. [see also <a href="#">80 FR 62620</a>]</li> </ul>	Please see below.
(i)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Technical outcome – The Health IT Module can create immunization information according to the HL7 2.5.1 standard, HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide (IG) for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5, and July 2015 Addendum, using CVX codes for historical vaccines and NDC codes for newly administered vaccines.</li> </ul> <p><b>Clarifications:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For the purposes of administered vaccines, when an immunization is reported at the time it is administered and the actual product is known, the NDC code must be sent. We clarify that for when sending historical vaccines and the actual NDC code is not available, CVX codes can be sent as this method would be supported by health IT certified to this criterion. [see also <a href="#">80 FR 62663</a>]</li> </ul>	<p>§170.205(e)(4) - Standard. <a href="#">HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Specifications. HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5, October 2014</a> and <a href="#">HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging (Release 1.5)—Addendum, July 2015</a></p> <p>§170.207(e)(3) - <a href="#">HL7 Standard Code Set CVX—Vaccines Administered, updates through August 17, 2015</a></p> <p>§170.207(e)(4) - <a href="#">National Drug Code Directory– Vaccine Codes, updates through August 17, 2015</a></p>

Criterion Subparagraph	Technical Explanations and Clarifications	Standard(s) Referenced
(ii)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Technical outcome –The Health IT Module enables a user to request, access and display the evaluated immunization history and forecast from an immunization registry for a patient in accordance with the HL7 2.5.1 standard, the HL7 2.5.1. IG for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5, and July 2015 Addendum.</li> </ul> <p><b>Clarifications:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Health IT (e.g., EHR products) may sometimes have a version of the immunization history that differs from the history in the immunization registry. Likewise, Health IT (e.g., EHR products) that includes immunization forecasting capabilities may produce a forecast that differs from one produced by the immunization registry. We still believe that it is important for an EHR to receive the history and forecast from the registry. Based on compliance with the Release 1.5 IG, a user would be able to see and compare the history and forecast from the certified health IT (e.g., EHR product) with the history and forecast from the immunization registry. However, we note that this criterion does not prescribe a particular workflow or reconciliation requirements. Providers and health IT developers may reconcile forecast and history information in a manner that best meets their needs for workflow and patient safety. [see also <a href="#">80 FR 62664</a>]</li> </ul>	<p>§§170.205(e)(4) - <a href="#">Standard. HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Specifications. HL7 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging, Release 1.5, October 2014</a> and <a href="#">HL7 Version 2.5.1 Implementation Guide for Immunization Messaging (Release 1.5)—Addendum, July 2015</a></p>

**Note:** This Certification Companion Guide (CCG) is an informative document designed to assist with health IT product development. The CCG is not a substitute for the 2015 Edition final regulation. It extracts key portions of the rule’s preamble and includes subsequent clarifying interpretations. To access the full context of regulatory intent please consult the 2015 Edition final rule or other included regulatory reference. The CCG is for public use and should not be sold or redistributed.

### Version History

Version #	Change(s) Summary	Date Made
1.0	Initial Publication	Oct 29, 2015
1.1	Updated the NIST Test Normative Test Process Document Link	Oct 6, 2016