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Acronyms defined on slide 22
Initial Task Assignment

• Recommend whether ONC should consider enhancing the current portfolio of transport standards to support consumer exchanges for Stage 3 meaningful-use (and beyond)
  – Consider Blue Button Plus (BB+), HL7 Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR), and RESTful Health Exchange (RHEX) to identify industry trends and emerging standards
  – NwHIN Power Team lead, with inputs from Privacy and Security Workgroup and Consumer Technology Workgroup
• Preliminary recommendations presented to HITSC at June meeting
  – Expanded scope of applicability beyond consumer-provider exchanges to include any health information exchange that can be supported using a RESTful service
Initiatives Reviewed (1 of 2)

• Blue Button Plus (BB+)
  – Standards and Interoperability (S&I) Framework Initiative formerly known as Automated Blue Button (ABBI) for exchanges between providers and consumers or consumer-named third parties
  – BB+ “Push” uses the Direct Protocol
  – BB+ “Pull” specifies a RESTful exchange that uses OAuth2 to authorize an application to query and pull data from an EHR, and FHIR for query and retrieval of selected EHR resources
  – BB+ “Pull” includes a Registry Service that distinguishes two categories:
    • “Trusted registration” – app is registered with the Service based on its ability to protect the OAuth2 token and the client secret returned by the data provider
    • “Open registration” – app is not registered with the Registry Service
Initiatives Reviewed (2 of 2)

• HL7 Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) specification
  — Healthcare content standard used to support BB+ “Pull” query and retrieval

• RESTful Health Exchange (RHEX) Project
  — Federal Health Architecture + S&I Framework sponsored initiative developing working prototypes demonstrating the use of RESTful services to support health information exchanges
  — Uses OAuth2 for application authorization, OpenID Connect for sharing identity attributes, and hData for content (may migrate to FHIR)
Two Levels of Specifications Emerged

• Lower Level Building Blocks
  – HTTPS – secure web-based transport
  – OAuth2 – authorization of third-party applications
  – OpenID Connect – sharing of identities and attributes
  – FHIR – simplified, structured content for RESTful exchange

• Higher Level Applications
  – BB+ “Pull” – consumer or authorized third-party query and retrieval of health data
  – RHEx – demonstration prototypes of RESTful health exchanges
OAuth2

• IETF Standard for remote service & third-party authorization (RFC 6749)

• Flexible framework that supports numerous options, and thus needs to be profiled for specific use cases (e.g., healthcare provider-consumer exchanges)

• Closely tied to HTTP, and thus assumes browser user-agents

• Used by both RHEx and BB+ Pull

• Status: Balloted standard widely used by major Internet companies (Google, Facebook, eBay, etc.)
OpenID Connect

• OpenID Foundation (OIDF) Pre-standard for remote authentication
• Communicates authenticated user information from one service to another, such as for single sign-on
• Similar to how traditional SOAP web services pass security assertions using SAML
• Designed to replace “OpenID 2.0”, which has seen significant uptake among major Internet companies
• Layered on top of OAuth2, and thus can be co-deployed
• Status: Emerging standard in limited, but growing, use for passing user authentication assertions; used by RHEX Project
• New HL7 standard in development – strong support by HL7 leadership & rapidly emerging industry interest

• Focuses on “resources” used for exchange; each resource includes:
  – Defined, simple, structured data (may be mapped to RIM, but need not be computable)
  – Extensions (formally defined & published)
  – Narrative

• Emphasis on simplicity, implementability, and human readability
  – Single syntax for documents, messages, queries, services, etc.
  – Specification includes RESTful transport, but other transports may be used

• Licensed free of charge

• Status: Base specification published as DSTU on August 13, 2013, now defining resources; used by BB+ for query and retrieval
BB+ “Pull” Example

**Consumer**
(1) Consumer uses MyHealthMonitor app to record diet, exercise, blood pressure, sleep, etc.; identifies provider holding consumer’s EHR data

(2) MyHealthMonitor service authenticates to provider’s EHR service & requests data

**Provider**
(3) EHR service authenticates consumer and asks for authorization to allow MyHealthMonitor to access EHR

(4) MyHealthMonitor uses FHIR to query and pull data from consumer’s EHR

**3rd Party App**

HTTPS (REST)
Initial Readiness Assessment

Maturity vs. Adoptability Matrix:

- High Maturity:
  - HTTPS
- Moderate Maturity:
  - OAuth2
  - RHEx
- Low Maturity:
  - OpenID Connect
  - Blue Button+ "Pull"

Standards:
- National Standards
- Pilots
- Emerging Standards

Legend:
- Red Type = building blocks
- White box = projects reviewed
Secured RESTful transport (*HTTPS*)
+ *OpenID Connect* authentication
+ *OAuth2* authorization
+ *FHIR* healthcare content

a safe and appropriate set of standards to use as building blocks for more complicated healthcare applications
Since we last talked about this subject...

- NwHIN Power Team has presented these concepts, and its conclusions and recommendations to the Privacy and Security Workgroup and the Consumer Technology Workgroup.
Privacy and Security Workgroup Recommendation: IHE Internet User Authorization (IUA) Profile

• Leverages NwHIN-recommended standards to address use cases in which a resource service needs to make additional access-control decisions beyond those made by the OAuth2 system
  – e.g., data segmentation for privacy, emergency break-the-glass override, role-based enforcement, purpose-of-use decisions
  – Uses JSON Web Tokens (JWT) and optionally SAML tokens, with well-defined user-context attributes

• Status: Draft for Public Comment published in June 2013
Consumer Technology Workgroup Observation: OAuth2 Dependency on Portal Identity Management

• BB+ “Pull” redirects patient authentication to provider’s portal authentication service – so OAuth2 authorization of a requesting app depends upon the strength of the portal’s identity management policies and technology – Providers need to make sure that the level of assurance provided by their portal identity management approach is sufficiently robust
Final, Coordinated Conclusions and Recommendations
Coordinated Conclusions and Recommendations (1 of 2)

- Secured RESTful transport (HTTPS), OpenID Connect, OAuth2, and FHIR can be used together to build safe healthcare applications: we recommend ONC support the development and piloting of these standards as candidate building blocks for healthcare applications.

- BB+ “Pull” holds potential as a national implementation specification for future meaningful-use Editions, but further development and piloting are needed.

- RHEX Project is a useful demonstration of how these standards can be used together to support robust, but simple healthcare exchange.
• **IHE IUA profile** appropriately constrains and structures OAuth2 tokens to support sharing of user-context assertions such as “purpose of use” and is recommended for use in environments that require coexistence with existing profiles based on IHE constrained user-context assertions.

• BB+ concept of implementing a Registry Service to recognize two types of registration – “trusted” and “open” – assumes policy that has not been established and implies a level of app “trustworthiness” that may not be justified: we recommend ONC ask the Privacy and Security Tiger Team to address the questions of whether “trusted registration” with a Registry Service should be required for BB+ “Pull” applications, and if so, what should “trusted” entail.
Secured RESTful transport (**HTTPS**)  
+ **OpenID Connect** authentication  
+ **OAuth2** authorization and, as applicable, the **IHE Internet User Authorization (IUA) Profile**  
+ **FHIR** healthcare content  

↓

a safe and appropriate set of standards to use as building blocks for more complicated healthcare applications
## Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Expansion</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABBI</td>
<td>Automated Blue Button</td>
<td>JWT</td>
<td>Javascript Object Notation (JSON) Web Token</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB+</td>
<td>Blue Button Plus (formerly called Automated Blue Button or ABBI)</td>
<td>NwHIN</td>
<td>Nationwide Health Information Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSTU</td>
<td>Draft Standard for Trial Use</td>
<td>OIDF</td>
<td>OpenID Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EHR</td>
<td>Electronic Health Record</td>
<td>REST</td>
<td>REpresentation State Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHIR</td>
<td>Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources</td>
<td>RFC</td>
<td>Request for Comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHE</td>
<td>Integrating the Healthcare Enterprise</td>
<td>RHEEx</td>
<td>RESTful Health Exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL7</td>
<td>Health Level Seven</td>
<td>RIM</td>
<td>Reference Information Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTTPS</td>
<td>Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure</td>
<td>SAML</td>
<td>Security Assertions Markup Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IETF</td>
<td>Internet Engineering Task Force</td>
<td>S&amp;I</td>
<td>Standards and Interoperability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IUA</td>
<td>Internet User Authorization</td>
<td>SOAP</td>
<td>Simple Object Access Protocol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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